\_\_\_\_\_\_

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

-----

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2002

0R

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Numbers: 333-75415 333-75415-03

CC V HOLDINGS, LLC\*
CC V HOLDINGS FINANCE, INC.\*

(Exact names of registrants as specified in their charters)

DELAWARE DELAWARE 13-4029965 13-4029969

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

12405 POWERSCOURT DRIVE ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

63131

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(314) 965-0555

-----

(Registrants' telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants: (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuers' classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

All of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of CC V Holdings Finance, Inc. are held by CC V Holdings, LLC. All of the limited liability company membership interests of CC V Holdings, LLC are held by Charter Communications Holdings, LLC, a reporting company under the Exchange Act. There is no public trading market for any of the aforementioned limited liability company membership interests or shares of capital stock.

\*CC V Holdings, LLC and CC V Holdings Finance, Inc. meet the conditions set forth in General Instruction (H)(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and are therefore filing this Form with the reduced disclosure format.

### CC V HOLDINGS, LLC CC V HOLDINGS FINANCE, INC.

### FORM 10-Q QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
Part I.	Financial Information	
	Item 1. Financial Statements - CC V Holdings, LLC and Subsidiaries	3
	Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures	11
	about Market Risk.	17
Part II.	Other Information	
	Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	19
Signature	es.	20

Note: Separate financial statements of CC V Holdings Finance, Inc. have not been presented as this entity had no operations and substantially no assets or equity during the periods reported. Accordingly, management has determined that such financial statements are not material.

### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, regarding, among other things, our plans, strategies and prospects, both business and financial. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or realize these plans, intentions or expectations. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many of the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report may be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "should," "planned," "will," "may," "intend," "estimated," and "potential," among others. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Quarterly Report are set forth in this Quarterly Report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or the SEC, and include, but are not limited to:

- our plans to achieve growth by offering advanced products and services;
- our anticipated capital expenditures for our upgrades and new equipment and facilities;
- our ability to fund capital expenditures and any future acquisitions;
- the effects of governmental regulation on our business;
- our ability to compete effectively in a highly competitive and changing environment;
- our ability to sustain customers;
- our ability to obtain programming as needed and at reasonable prices;
- our ability to continue to do business with existing vendors, particularly high-tech companies that do not have a long operating history; and
- general business and economic conditions, particularly in light of the uncertainty stemming from the armed conflict related to the September 11, 2001 terrorist activities in the United States.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or a person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. We are under no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Quarterly Report to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION. ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

# CC V HOLDINGS, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	JUNE 30, 2002	DECEMBER 31, 2001
	(UNAUDITED)	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful		
accounts of \$1,593 and \$2,520, respectively	\$ 11,106	\$ 11,692
Receivable from manager - related party	33,625	22,419
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	945	1,894
Total ourrent accets		
Total current assets	45,676	36,005
INVESTMENT IN CABLE PROPERTIES:		
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated		
depreciation of \$260,769 and \$211,107, respectively	803,667	792,157
Franchises, net of accumulated amortization of \$422,766	,	
and \$421,633, respectively	3,087,858	3,088,958
Total investment in cable properties, net	3,891,525	
OTHER ASSETS	9,590	7,845
Total assets	\$3,946,791	\$2 024 06E
TOTAL ASSETS	φ3,940,791 ========	
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	¢ 120 950	¢ 166 227
Accounts payable and accided expenses	\$ 130,859 	Ψ 100,221
Total current liabilities	130,859	
LONG-TERM DEBT	1,250,155	1,229,605
LOANS PAYABLE-RELATED PARTY		27,000
OTHER LONG TERM LIARTETTER	00.007	40.075
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	26,097	12,275
MINORITY INTEREST	661,358	654,863
THORITI INTEREST	001,000	034,000
MEMBER'S EQUITY	1,878,322	1,834,995
Total liabilities and member's equity	\$3,946,791	\$3,924,965
	=======	========

### CC V HOLDINGS, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

### THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2002	2001
	(UNAL	JDITED)
REVENUES	\$ 152,121	\$ 128,031
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Operating (excluding those items listed below)	,	43,033
Selling, general and administrative	28,611	23,786
Depreciation and amortization		113,983
Corporate expense charges - related party	2,191	1,960
		182,762
Income (loss) from operations	7,314	(54,731)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Interest expense, net	(21,988)	(23, 364)
Gain (loss) on derivative and hedging instruments	(15,994)	530
Other, net	(53)	(405)
	(38,035)	(23,239)
Loss before minority interest	(30,721)	(77,970)
Minority interest	(3,265)	(3,196)
Net loss	\$ (33,986) ======	\$ (81,166) ======

# CC V HOLDINGS, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2002	
	 (UNAUE	OITED)
REVENUES	\$ 291,153	\$ 249,092
OPERATING EXPENSES: Operating (excluding those items listed below) Selling, general and administrative		85,145 46,821
Depreciation and amortization Corporate expense charges - related party	121,908 4,187	230, 118
	287,666	365,996
Income (loss) from operations	3,487	(116,904)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):    Interest expense, net    Gain (loss) on derivative and hedging instruments    Other, net	(42,153) (10,519) 181	(49,191) 530 (515)
	(52,491)	(49,176)
Loss before minority interest	(49,004)	(166,080)
Minority interest	(6,495)	(6,355)
Net loss	\$ (55,499) ======	\$(172,435) ======

# CC V HOLDINGS, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	2002	2001
	 (UNA	.UDITED)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (55,499)	\$ (172,435)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:	. , ,	, ,
Depreciation and amortization	121,908	230,118
Minority interest	6,495	6,355
Noncash interest expense	8,955	7,378
Loss (gain) on derivative and hedging instruments Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	10,519	(530)
Accounts receivable	586	2,018
Receivables from and payables to manager - related party	(11,206)	(9,238)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(2,708)	(6,677)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses Other operating activities	(37,137)	(34,108) (2,336)
other operating activities	(2,708) (37,137) (870)	(2,330)
Net cash flows from operating activities	41,043	20,545
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(125,888)	(159,679)
Other investing activities		(1,567)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(125,888)	(161,246)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings of long-term debt	182,000	1,093,000 (1,018,000)
Repayments of long-term debt	(169,249)	(1,018,000)
Borrowings from related party	38,060	
Repayments to related party	(65,060)	(400)
Repayments of bonds	(169)	(168)
Payments for debt issuance costs Contributions from manager - related party	(3,704)	(4,246) 83,403
Distributions to manager - related party	(6,907	(16, 159)
Distributions to manager - retated party	108,967 (6,000)	(10,139)
Net cash flows from financing activities	84,845	137,830
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(2,871)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period		11,232
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$	\$ 8,361
CASH PAID FOR INTEREST	======= \$ 33,580 ======	
	=======	==========
NONCASH TRANSACTIONS: Transfer of cable systems to other Charter Holdings subsidiaries	\$	\$ 578,448
or outle officially control officer fortungs substitution		========
Forgiveness of intercompany liabilities by parent company recorded		
as equity contribution	\$	\$ 394,801
	=======	========

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

On November 15, 1999, Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC (Charter Holdco), a direct subsidiary of Charter Communications, Inc. (Charter), acquired all of the equity interests of Avalon Cable, LLC (now known as CC V Holdings, LLC and referred to herein as CC V Holdings or the Company) and Avalon Cable Holdings Finance, Inc. (now known as CC V Holdings Finance, Inc.). Effective January 1, 2000, these acquired interests were transferred to Charter Communications Holdings, LLC (Charter Holdings), a wholly owned subsidiary of Charter Holdco.

Effective in December 2000, Charter Holdings contributed all of its equity interests in CC VIII, LLC (CC VIII, formerly known as Bresnan) to CC V Holdings, resulting in CC V Holdings becoming the parent company of CC VIII. The Company accounted for the contribution of CC VIII as a reorganization of entities under common control in a manner similar to a pooling of interests. In 2001, Charter Holdings released the Company from its obligation to repay \$394.8 million, representing original borrowings from Charter Holdings plus interest. The Company recorded the \$394.8 million as an equity contribution. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Effective on January 2, 2001, the Company entered into certain cable system swap transactions with other subsidiaries of Charter Holdings. Such cable systems swaps were effected in order to increase operational efficiency by swapping systems into the subsidiaries which are physically located closest to them. The Company accounted for the systems transferred into the Company from other Charter Holdings subsidiaries as a reorganization of entities under common control in a manner similar to a pooling of interests. Accordingly, beginning on November 15, 1999, the date the Company was acquired by Charter Holdco, the consolidated financial statements of CC V Holdings include the accounts of four systems that were transferred into the Company from other Charter Holdings subsidiaries. Also, on January 2, 2001, the Company transferred five of its systems to other Charter Holdings subsidiaries as part of the swap transactions. The disposition of such systems by the Company was recorded as a noncash transaction with related parties for the year ended December 31, 2001.

As of June 30, 2002, the Company owns and operates cable systems serving approximately 955,500 customers. The Company provides a full range of traditional analog television services to the home, along with advanced broadband services, including television on an advanced digital programming platform and high-speed Internet access. The Company also provides commercial high-speed data, video and Internet solutions as well as advertising sales and production services. The Company operates primarily in the states of Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin and in the New England area.

### Reclassifications

Certain 2001 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2002 presentation.

### 2. RESPONSIBILITY FOR INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures typically included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K have been condensed or omitted for this Quarterly Report. The accompanying consolidated financial statements are unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, such statements include all adjustments, which consist of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### 3. LONG-TERM DEBT

In January 2001, all amounts due under the CC V Holdings credit facilities were repaid using borrowings from the CC VIII Operating credit facilities and the CC V Holdings credit facilities were terminated. In addition, the

CC VIII Operating credit facilities were amended and restated to, among other things, increase borrowing availability by \$550.0 million to \$1.45 billion. The credit facilities were further amended and restated on January 3, 2002 and provided for borrowings of up to \$1.55 billion which were reduced to \$1.52 billion as of June 30, 2002.

	JUNE 30, 2002	DECEMBER 31, 2001
CC VIII Operating credit facilities	\$1,094,751	\$1,082,000
CC V Holdings senior discount notes	154,260	146,292
0ther	1,144	1,313
	\$1,250,155	\$1,229,605
	========	========

#### 4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 142, among other things, eliminates the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. The Company has sufficiently upgraded the technological state of its cable systems and now has sufficient experience with the local franchise authorities where it acquired franchises to conclude that substantially all of its franchises will be renewed indefinitely.

On January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142. Accordingly, beginning January 1, 2002, all franchises that qualify for indefinite life treatment under SFAS No. 142 are no longer being amortized against earnings and will be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently as warranted by events or changes in circumstances. During the first quarter of 2002, the Company had an independent appraisal performed to determine the valuations of its franchises as of January 1, 2002. Franchises were aggregated into essentially inseparable reporting units to conduct the valuations. The appraisal determined that the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units exceeded their carrying amount. As a result, no impairment charge was recorded upon adoption.

The effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 142 as of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 is presented in the following table (in thousands):

	JUNE 30, 2002				DECEMBER 31, 20	01	
	GROSS CARRYING ACCUMULATED AMOUNT AMORTIZATION		CARRYING ACCUMULATED CARRYING CA		GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	
INDEFINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLE ASSETS: Franchises with indefinite lives	\$3,484,833	\$418,539	\$3,066,294	\$3,484,833	\$418,539	\$3,066,294	
FINITE-LIVED INTANGIBLE ASSETS: Franchises with finite lives	25,791	4,227	21,564	25,758	3,094	22,664	
Total franchises	\$3,510,624 =======	\$422,766 ======	\$3,087,858 =======	\$3,510,591 ======	\$421,633 ======	\$3,088,958 ======	

Franchise amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was \$1.1 million which represents the amortization relating to franchises that did not qualify for indefinite-life treatment under SFAS No. 142 and costs associated with franchise renewals. Certain franchises did not qualify for indefinite-life treatment due to technological or operational factors that limit their lives. These costs will be amortized on a straight-line basis over 10 years, which represents management's best estimate of the remaining lives of such franchises. For each of the next five years, amortization expense relating to these franchises is expected to be approximately \$2.3 million.

As required by SFAS No. 142, the standard has not been retroactively applied to the results for the period prior to adoption. A reconciliation of net loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, as if SFAS No. 142 had been adopted as of January 1, 2001, is presented below (in thousands):

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
NET LOSS: Reported net loss Add back: amortization of indefinite-lived franchises	\$(33,986) -	\$(81,166) 61,363	\$(55,499) -	\$(172,435) 116,509
Adjusted net loss	\$(33,986) ======	\$(19,803) ======	\$(55,499) ======	\$ (55,926)

### 5. ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company uses interest rate risk management derivative instruments, such as interest rate swap agreements (referred to herein as interest rate agreements) as required under the terms of its credit facilities. The Company's policy is to manage interest costs using a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. Using interest rate swap agreements, the Company agrees to exchange, at specified intervals through 2006, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount.

The Company has certain interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Such instruments are those which effectively convert variable interest payments on debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, derivative gains and losses are offset against related results on hedged items in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company has formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, other expense includes losses of \$1.3 million which represent cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate hedge agreements arising from differences between the critical terms of the agreements and the related hedged obligations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2001, there was no interest rate hedge agreements designated as cash flow hedges. Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations are reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, included in accumulated other comprehensive income was a loss of \$0.5 million and a gain of \$3.7 million, respectively, related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges. The amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings or losses.

Certain interest rate derivative instruments are not designated as hedges as they do not meet the effectiveness criteria specified by SFAS No. 133. However, management believes such instruments are closely correlated with the respective debt, thus managing associated risk. Interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges are marked to fair value with the impact recorded as other income or expense. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, the Company recorded other expense of \$14.6 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2001, the Company recorded other income of \$0.5 million for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges.

As of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the Company had outstanding \$700.0 million and \$715.0 million, respectively, in notional amounts of interest rate swaps, respectively. The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged are determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts.

### 6. COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The Company reports changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations, that meet the effectiveness criteria of SFAS No. 133, in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Comprehensive loss for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 was \$40.0 million and \$81.2 million, respectively. Comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 was \$59.6 million and \$172.4 million, respectively.

#### 7. CONTINGENCIES

In connection with our acquisition of Mercom, Inc., former Mercom shareholders holding 731,894 Mercom common shares (approximately 15.3% of all outstanding Mercom common shares) gave notice of their election to exercise appraisal rights as provided by Delaware law. On July 2, 1999, former Mercom shareholders holding 535,501 shares of Mercom common stock filed a petition for appraisal of stock in the Delaware Chancery Court. With respect to 209,893 of the total number of shares for which the Company received notice, the notice provided was received from beneficial holders of Mercom shares who were not holders of record. The Company believes that the notice with respect to these shares did not comply with Delaware law and is ineffective.

The Company cannot predict at this time the effect of the elections to exercise appraisal rights. If a Delaware court were to find that the fair value of the Mercom common shares, exclusive of any element of value arising from the acquisition of Mercom, exceeded \$12.00 per share, the Company would have to pay the additional amount for each Mercom common share subject to the appraisal proceedings together with afair rate of interest. The Company could be ordered by the Delaware court also to pay reasonable attorney's fees and the fees and expenses of experts for the shareholders. In addition, the Company would have to pay its own litigation costs. The Company has already provided for the consideration of \$12.00 per Mercom common share due under the terms of the merger with Mercom with respect to these shares. The Company can provide no assurance as to what a Delaware court would find in any appraisal proceeding or when this matter will be resolved. The trial of the lawsuit is scheduled to begin on October 21, 2002. Accordingly, the Company cannot assure that the ultimate outcome would have no material adverse impact on their consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

The Company is party to lawsuits and claims that arose in the ordinary course of conducting its business. In the opinion of management, after consulting with legal counsel, and taking into account recorded liabilities, the outcome of these lawsuits and claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### 8. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SFAS 146 requires that a liability for costs associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred rather than when a company commits to such an activity and also establishes fair value as the objective for initial measurement of the liability. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 146 for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. Adoption will not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes amounts and the percentages of total revenues for certain items for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left$ 

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,				
	2002		2001		
	AMOUNT	% OF REVENUES		% OF	
Revenues	\$ 291,153	100.0%	·	100.0%	
Operating expenses: Operating (excluding those items listed below) Selling, general and administrative Depreciation and amortization Corporate expense charges - related party	121,908 4,187	36.2% 19.3% 41.9% 1.4%	85,145 46,821 230,118 3,912  365,996	34.2% 18.8% 92.4% 1.5%	
Income (loss) from operations	3,487	1.2%			
Other income (expense):    Interest expense, net    Loss on derivative and hedging instruments    Other, net	(10,519) 181	(3.6)% 0.1%	(49,191) 530 (515)  (49,176)		
Loss before minority interest	(49,004)		(166,080)		
Minority interest	(6,495)	(2.2)%	(6,355)	` ,	
Net loss	\$ (55,499) ======	(19.0)% =====	\$(172,435) =======	(69.2)% =====	

	SIX MONTHS EN	NDED JUNE 30,
	2002	2001
EBITDA (a)	\$ 115,057	\$ 113,229
Cash flows from operating activities	41,043	20,545
Cash flows from investing activities	(125,888)	(161,246)
Cash flows from financing activities	84,845	137,830
Homes passed (at period end) (b)	1,527,400	1,446,400
Basic customers (at period end) (c)	955,500	971,600
Basic penetration (at period end) (d)	62.6%	67.2%
Digital customers (at period end) (e)	243,600	174,100
Digital penetration of basic customers (at period end) (f)	25.5%	17.9%
Cable modem customers (at period end) (g)	179,400	92,500
Average Monthly Revenue per Basic Customer (quarter) (h)	\$ 53.07	\$ 43.92

(a) EBITDA represents earnings (loss) before interest and depreciation and amortization, minority interest and income taxes. EBITDA is presented because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a cable company's ability to service indebtedness. However, EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to income (loss) from operations or to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities, as determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. EBITDA should also not be construed as an indication of a company's operating performance or as a measure of liquidity. In addition, because EBITDA is

not calculated identically by all companies, the presentation here may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Management's discretionary use of funds depicted by EBITDA may be limited by working capital, debt service and capital expenditure requirements and by restrictions related to legal requirements, commitments and uncertainties.

- (b) Homes passed are the number of living units, such as single residence homes, apartments and condominium units, passed by the cable television distribution network in a given cable system service area to which we offer the service indicated.
- (c) As of June 30, 2002 and 2001, basic customers include: 1) approximately 2,600 and 1,500 customers (0.3% and 0.2% of total customers), respectively, who pay an additional \$10 per month over the standard modem retail rate and are entitled to receive "lifeline basic" service as a result of their purchase of cable modem service and 2) approximately 36,400 and 37,000, respectively, commercial customers who are calculated on an equivalent bulk unit ("EBU") basis. EBU is calculated by dividing the bulk rate charged to respective accounts by the most prevalent rate charged in each system for the comparable tier of service to determine the equivalent customers. The EBU method of calculating basic customers is consistent with the methodology used in determining costs paid to programmers and has been consistently applied year over year.
- (d) Penetration represents the number of customers as a percentage of homes passed.
- (e) Digital customers include all households that have one or more digital converter boxes.
- (f) Penetration of basic customers represents the number of digital customers as a percentage of basic customers.
- (g) As of June 30, 2002 and 2001, cable modem customers include approximately 30,300 and 8,000, respectively, commercial customers who are calculated on an equivalent modem unit ("EMU") basis. EMU is calculated by dividing commercial revenue by the average effective rate charged in each system for modem services to determine the equivalent customers. We have utilized this methodology sine 1999, as it conforms to the internal practices followed for operating and capital expenditure budgeting.
- (h) Average monthly revenue per basic customer represents revenues divided by the number of months in the period divided by the number of basic customers at period end.

### COMPARISON OF RESULTS

2001

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

Revenues. Revenues increased \$42.1 million, or 16.9%, to \$291.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$249.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Revenues by service offering are as follows (dollars in millions):

### SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2002		2001		2002 OVER 2001	
	AMOUNT	% OF REVENUES	AMOUNT	% OF REVENUES	CHANGE	% CHANGE
Analog video	\$204.5	70.2%	\$188.1	75.5%	\$16.4	8.7%
Digital video	20.3	7.0%	13.0	5.2%	7.3	56.2%
Cable modem	27.0	9.3%	11.3	4.5%	15.7	138.9%
Advertising sales	17.4	6.0%	15.1	6.1%	2.3	15.2%
Other	22.0	7.5%	21.6	8.7%	0.4	1.9%
	\$291.2	100.0%	\$249.1	100.0%	\$42.1	16.9%
	======	=====	=====	=====	=====	

Analog video revenues consist primarily of revenues from basic and premium services. Analog video revenues increased by \$16.4 million as a result of general rate increases in basic service.

Digital video revenues consist primarily of revenues related to the provision of digital video service. Digital video revenues increased \$7.3 million as a result of digital customers increasing by 69,500, or 39.9%, to 243,600 at June 30, 2002 compared to 174,100 at June 30, 2001 coupled with general rate increases in digital service. Increased marketing efforts and strong demand for this service have also contributed to the increase.

Cable modem revenues consist primarily of revenues related to the provision of high-speed Internet service. Cable modem revenues increased \$15.7 million as a result of cable modem customers increasing by 86,900, or 138.9%, to 179,400 at June 30, 2002 compared to 92,500 at June 30, 2001. The increase was due to internal growth as our system upgrades and expansion continue to increase our ability to offer high-speed Internet service to a larger customer base. Internal growth in cable modem services was the result of strong marketing efforts coupled with increased demand for such services.

Advertising sales revenues consist primarily of revenues from traditional advertising services as well as advertising related to launch revenues from programming agreements. Advertising sales revenues increased \$2.3 million, or 15.2%, from \$15.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001 to \$17.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The increase was primarily due to improved market conditions and increased advertising capacity as a result of increased channel lineups.

Other revenues consist primarily of revenues from franchise fees, customer installation, home shopping, dial-up Internet service and other miscellaneous revenues. Other revenues increased \$0.4 million, or 1.9%, from \$21.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001 to \$22.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The increase was primarily due to an increase in miscellaneous revenues, coupled with increases in the other aforementioned revenues offset partially due to the Federal Communications Commission's ruling that collection of franchise fees was no longer required for cable modem service.

Operating expenses. Operating expenses increased \$20.2 million, or 23.7%, to \$105.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$85.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Key components of operating expenses as a percentage of revenues are as follows (dollars in millions):

### SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2002		20	2001		2002 OVER 2001	
			-				
		% OF		% OF			
	AMOUNT	REVENUES	AMOUNT	REVENUES	CHANGE	% CHANGE	
Analog video programming	\$ 72.0	24.7%	\$60.7	24.4%	\$11.3	18.6%	
Digital video	7.1	2.5%	5.1	2.0%	2.0	39.2%	
Cable modem	6.8	2.3%	4.0	1.6%	2.8	70.0%	
Advertising sales	5.1	1.8%	4.9	2.0%	0.2	4.1%	
Service costs	14.3	4.9%	10.4	4.2%	3.9	37.5%	
	\$105.3	36.2%	\$85.1	34.2%	\$20.2	23.7%	

Analog video programming costs consist primarily of costs paid to programmers for the provision of basic and premium channels as well as pay-per-view programs and channel guides. The increase in analog video programming of \$11.3 million, or 18.6%, was primarily due to inflationary or negotiated price increases, particularly in sports programming, and increased channel lineup. The increase of \$2.0 million, or 39.2%, in direct operating costs to provide digital video services was primarily due to internal growth of these advanced services and increased programming costs. The increase of \$2.8 million, or 70.0%, in direct operating costs to provide cable modem services was primarily due to internal growth. Advertising sales costs increased \$0.2 million, or 4.1%, due to increased advertising capacity as a result of increased channel line-up and improved market conditions. Service costs consist primarily of service personnel salaries and benefits, system utilities, maintenance and pole rent expense. The increase in service costs of \$3.9 million, or 37.5%, resulted primarily from overall continued internal growth.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$9.4 million, or 20.1%, to \$56.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$46.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Key components of such expenses as a percentage of revenues are as follows (dollars in millions):

### SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2002		2001		2002 OVER 2001	
		% OF		% OF		
	AMOUNT	REVENUES	AMOUNT	REVENUES	CHANGE	% CHANGE
General and administrative	\$50.1	17.2%	\$40.6	16.3%	\$ 9.5	23.4%
Marketing	6.1	2.1%	6.2	2.5%	(0.1)	(1.6)%
	\$56.2	19.3%	\$46.8	18.8%	\$ 9.4	20.1%
	=====	====	=====	====	=====	

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits, franchise fees, rent expense, bill costs, bad debt expense and property taxes. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$9.5 million, or 23.4%, resulted primarily from our overall continued internal growth. Marketing expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 1.6%.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$108.2 million, or 47.0%, to \$121.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$230.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. This decrease was due primarily to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which requires that franchise intangible assets that meet the indefinite life criteria of SFAS No. 142 no longer be amortized against earnings but instead be tested annually for impairment. Upon adoption we did not incur an impairment charge and eliminated the amortization of indefinite-lived assets. Amortization of such assets totaled \$116.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. This decrease was partially offset by the increase in depreciation expense related to additional capital expenditures in 2002 and 2001.

Corporate expense charges - related party. Corporate expense charges for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 represent costs incurred on our behalf by our affiliates, Charter Communication Holding Company, LLC and Charter Communications, Inc., and increased \$0.3 million, or 7.7%, to \$4.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002, from \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The increase was due primarily the result of hiring additional employees during the six months ended June 30, 2002 as compared with the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Loss on derivative and hedging instruments. Loss on derivative and hedging instruments increased by \$11.0 million to \$10.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from a gain of \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The increase was primarily due to less favorable positions on interest rate agreements.

Interest expense, net. Interest expense, net decreased by \$7.0 million, or 14.2%, to \$42.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$49.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in our weighted average borrowing rate of 0.7% to 6.4% during the six months ended June 30, 2002 from 7.1% during the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Minority interest. Minority interest expense represents the accretion of the preferred membership units in an indirect subsidiary of Charter Communications Holdings, LLC issued to certain Bresnan sellers. These membership units are exchangeable on a one-for-one basis for shares of Class A common stock of Charter Communications, Inc. Minority interest expense increased by \$0.1 million, or 1.6%, to \$6.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$6.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Net loss. Net loss decreased by \$116.9 million, or 67.8%, to \$55.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$172.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001 as a result of the combination of factors discussed above.

Variable Interest Rates. At June 30, 2002, excluding the effects of hedging, approximately 87.7% of our debt bears interest at variable rates that are linked to short-term interest rates. In addition, a significant portion of our existing debt, assumed debt or debt we might arrange in the future will bear interest at variable rates. If interest rates rise, our costs relative to those obligations will also rise. As of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the weighted average rate on the bank debt was approximately 5.7% and 5.5%, respectively, and the weighted average rate on the high-yield debt was approximately 11.1%, respectively, resulting in a blended weighted average rate of 6.3% and 6.2%, respectively. Approximately 68.4% of our debt was effectively fixed including the effects of our interest rate agreements as of June 30, 2002 compared to approximately 68.9% at December 31, 2001.

Regulation and Legislation. Cable systems are extensively regulated at the federal, state, and local level, including rate regulation of basic service and equipment and municipal approval of franchise agreements and their terms, such as franchise requirements to upgrade cable plant and meet specified customer service standards. Cable operators also face significant regulation of their channel carriage. They currently can be required to devote substantial capacity to the carriage of programming that they would not carry voluntarily, including certain local broadcast signals, local public, educational and government access programming, and unaffiliated commercial leased access programming. This carriage burden could increase in the future, particularly if the Federal Communications Commission were to require cable systems to carry both the analog and digital versions of local broadcast signals. The Federal Communications Commission is currently conducting a proceeding in which it is considering this channel usage possibility, although it recently issued a tentative decision against such dual carriage.

There is also uncertainty whether local franchising authorities, state regulators, the Federal Communications Commission, or the U.S. Congress will impose obligations on cable operators to provide unaffiliated Internet service providers with access to cable plant on non-discriminatory terms. If they were to do so, and the obligations were found to be lawful, it could complicate our operations in general, and our Internet operations in particular, from a technical and marketing standpoint. These access obligations could adversely impact our profitability and discourage system upgrades and the introduction of new products and services. Multiple federal courts have now struck down open-access requirements imposed by several different franchising authorities as unlawful. In March 2002, the Federal Communications Commission adopted a policy of regulatory forbearance concerning cable's provision of high-speed Internet service, and it officially classified such service in a manner that makes open access requirements unlikely. At the same time, the Federal Communications Commission initiated a rulemaking proceeding that leaves open the possibility that the Commission may assert regulatory control in the future. As we offer other advanced services over our cable system, we are likely to face additional calls for regulation of our capacity and operation. These regulations, if adopted, could adversely affect our operations.

Management of Growth. We, along with our affiliated companies, have experienced rapid growth that has placed and is expected to continue to place a significant strain on our management, operations and other resources. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to successfully integrate the operations acquired. The failure to implement management, operating or financial systems necessary to successfully integrate acquired operations or otherwise manage growth when and as needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We continue to evaluate opportunities to swap or divest non-strategic cable systems. Our primary criterion in considering these opportunities is the potential financial and debt-reduction benefits we expect to ultimately realize as a result of a divestiture or swap. We also continue to explore acquisition opportunities to enhance our operations in existing markets. We consider each transaction in the context of our overall existing and planned operations.

New Services and Products. We expect that a substantial portion of our future growth will be achieved through revenues from new products and services. We may not be able to offer these new products and services successfully to our customers and these new products and services may not generate adequate revenues. If we are unable to grow our cash flow sufficiently, we may be unable to fulfill our obligations or obtain alternative financing. Further, due to declining market conditions and slowing economic trends during the last year, both before and after the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, we cannot assure you that we will be able to achieve our planned levels of growth as these conditions and events may negatively affect the demand for our additional services and products and spending by customers and advertisers.

Economic Slowdown; Terrorism; And Armed Conflict. Although we do not believe that the armed conflict following the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001 and the related events have resulted in any material changes to

our business and operations to date, it is difficult to assess the impact that these events, combined with the general economic slowdown, will have on future operations. These events, combined with the general economic slowdown, could result in reduced spending by customers and advertisers, which could reduce our revenues and operating cash flow. Additionally, an economic slowdown could affect our ability to collect accounts receivable. If we experience reduced operating revenues, it could negatively affect our ability to make expected capital expenditures and could also result in our inability to meet our obligations under our financing agreements. These developments could also have a negative impact on our financing and variable interest rate agreements through disruptions in the market or negative market conditions. Terrorist attacks could interrupt or disrupt our ability to deliver our services (or the services provided to us by programmers) and could cause unforeseen damage to our physical facilities. Armed conflict, terrorism and the related events may have other adverse effects on us, in ways that cannot be presently predicted.

### CONTINGENCIES

In connection with our acquisition of Mercom, Inc., former Mercom shareholders holding 731,894 Mercom common shares (approximately 15.3% of all outstanding Mercom common shares) gave notice of their election to exercise appraisal rights as provided by Delaware law. On July 2, 1999, former Mercom shareholders holding 535,501 shares of Mercom common stock filed a petition for appraisal of stock in the Delaware Chancery Court. With respect to 209,893 of the total number of shares for which we received notice, the notice provided was received from beneficial holders of Mercom shares who were not holders of record. We believe that the notice with respect to these shares did not comply with Delaware law and is ineffective.

We cannot predict at this time the effect on us of the elections to exercise appraisal rights. If a Delaware court were to find that the fair value of the Mercom common shares, exclusive of any element of value arising from the acquisition of Mercom, exceeded \$12.00 per share, we would have to pay the additional amount for each Mercom common share subject to the appraisal proceedings together with a fair rate of interest. We could be ordered by the Delaware court also to pay reasonable attorney's fees and the fees and expenses of experts for the shareholders. In addition, we would have to pay our own litigation costs. We have already provided for the consideration of \$12.00 per Mercom common share due under the terms of the merger with Mercom with respect to these shares. We can provide no assurance as to what a Delaware court would find in any appraisal proceeding or when this matter will be resolved. The trial of the lawsuit is scheduled to begin on October 21,2002. Accordingly, we cannot assure that the ultimate outcome would have no material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we are party to lawsuits and claims that arose in the ordinary course of conducting business. In our opinion, after consulting with legal counsel, the outcome of these lawsuits and claims will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

### RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS No. 146 addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." SFAS 146 requires that a liability for costs associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred rather than when a company commits to such an activity and also establishes fair value as the objective for initial measurement of the liability. We will adopt SFAS No. 146 for exit or disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002. Adoption will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

### INTEREST RATE RISK

In April 2001, we entered into certain interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," our interest rate derivative instruments are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at fair value. Such instruments are those that effectively convert variable interest payments on debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, SFAS No. 133 allows derivative gains and losses to offset related results on hedged items in the consolidated statement of operations. We have formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, other expense includes losses of \$1.3 million which represent cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate hedge agreements arising from differences between the critical terms of the agreements and the related hedged obligations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2001, there was no interest rate hedge agreements designated as cash flow hedges. Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations are reported in accumulated other comprehensive gain. At June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, included in accumulated other comprehensive income was a loss of \$0.5 million and a gain of \$3.7 million, respectively, related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges. The amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings (losses).

Certain interest rate derivative instruments are not designated as hedges as they do not meet the effectiveness criteria specified by SFAS No. 133. However, we believe such instruments are closely correlated with the respective debt, thus managing associated risk. Interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges are marked to fair value with the impact recorded as other income or expense. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, we recorded other expense of \$14.6 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2001, the Company recorded other income of \$0.5 million for interest rate derivative instruments not designated as hedges.

The following table presents information relative to borrowing under the CC VIII Operating credit facilities as of June 30, 2002 (in thousands):

	CC VIII OPERATING
Credit facilities outstanding Other debt (1)	\$1,094,751 1,090
Total defined bank debt	\$1,095,841 ========
Adjusted EBITDA (2)	\$ 69,215 =======
Bank Compliance Leverage Ratio (3)	3.96
Maximum Allowable Leverage Ratio (4)	5.50 ======
Total Credit Facilities (5)	\$1,521,075 =======
Potential Bank Availability (6)	\$ 426,324 =======
Basic Customers	955,500 ======

- (1) Includes other permitted bank level debt, capitalized leases and letters of credit, which are classified as debt by the respective credit facility agreements for the calculation of maximum allowable leverage.
- (2) Adjusted EBITDA represents the current quarter earnings (loss) before interest expense, depreciation and amortization, minority interest, corporate expenses and other noncash expenses. Adjusted EBITDA is presented in accordance with the credit facilities agreement.

- (3) Bank Compliance Leverage Ratio represents total defined bank debt divided by Adjusted EBITDA, annualized and is the most restrictive of the financial covenants.
- (4) Maximum Allowable Leverage Ratio represents the maximum leverage ratio allowed under the respective bank agreements.
- (5) Total Credit Facilities represents the total borrowing capacity of the credit facility.
- (6) Potential Bank Availability represents the Total Credit Facilities capacity less Credit Facilities Outstanding, adjusted for any limitations due to covenant restrictions. Based on our current financial position and quarterly results of operations, the Company is not limited on borrowings by covenant restrictions.

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) Exhibits.

### Exhibit Number Description of Document

- 99.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer). \*
- 99.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer). \*

### \* filed herewith

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

On April 22, 2002, the registrant filed a current report on Form 8-K dated April 22, 2002 to report that the registrant had changed its principal independent accountants.

On April 26, 2002, the registrant filed a current report on Form 8-K/A dated April 22, 2002 as an amendment to the Form 8-K dated and filed on April 22, 2002 regarding a change in its principal independent accountants.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrants have duly caused this Quarterly Report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CC V HOLDINGS, LLC

Dated: August 9, 2002

By: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Registrants' Manager

By: /s/ Kent D. Kalkwarf

Name: Kent D. Kalkwarf Title: Executive Vice President and Chief

Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer) of Charter

Communications, Inc.

(Manager) and CC V Holdings, LLC

By: /s/ Paul E. Martin

Name: Paul E. Martin Title: Senior Vice President and Corporate

Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer) of Charter

Communications, Inc. (Manager) and CC V Holdings, LLC

CC V HOLDINGS FINANCE, INC.

Dated: August 9, 2002

By: /s/ Kent D. Kalkwarf Name: Kent D. Kalkwarf

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief

Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer) of CC V Holdings

Finance, Inc.

By: /s/ Paul E. Martin

Name: Paul E. Martin

Title: Senior Vice President - Corporate

Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer) of CC V

Holdings Finance, Inc.

### EXHIBIT INDEX

### Exhibit Number Description of Document

- 99.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer). \*
- 99.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer). \*

\* filed herewith

CC V HOLDINGS, LLC
CC V HOLDINGS FINANCE, INC.
12405 POWERSCOURT DRIVE
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131

## CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REGARDING PERIODIC REPORT CONTAINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

I, Carl E. Vogel, the Chief Executive Officer of CC V Holdings, LLC and CC V Holdings Finance, Inc. (collectively, the "Company") in compliance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, hereby certify that, the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002 (the "Report") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission:

- fully complies with the requirements of Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Carl E. Vogel

\_\_\_\_\_

Carl E. Vogel Chief Executive Officer August 9, 2002 CC V HOLDINGS, LLC
CC V HOLDINGS FINANCE, INC.
12405 POWERSCOURT DRIVE
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131

## CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER REGARDING PERIODIC REPORT CONTAINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

I, Kent D. Kalkwarf, the Chief Financial Officer of CC V Holdings, LLC and CC V Holdings Finance, Inc. (collectively, the "Company") in compliance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, hereby certify that, the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002 (the "Report") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission:

- fully complies with the requirements of Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Kent D. Kalkwarf

Kent D. Kalkwarf Chief Financial Officer August 9, 2002