

Charter Communications Operating, LLC
Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp.

Quarterly Report
For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011

Charter Communications Operating, LLC
Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp.
Quarterly Report for the Period ended June 30, 2011

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>Page</u>
Item 1. Financial Statements - Charter Communications Operating, LLC and Subsidiaries	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	28
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	29
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A. Risk Factors	31

This quarterly report is for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. In this quarterly report, "we," "us" and "our" refer to Charter Communications Operating, LLC and its subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS:

This quarterly report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), regarding, among other things, our plans, strategies and prospects, both business and financial including, without limitation, the forward-looking statements set forth in the “Results of Operations” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources” sections under Part I, Item 2. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in this quarterly report. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or realize these plans, intentions or expectations. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions including, without limitation, the factors described under “Risk Factors” under Part II, Item 1A and the factors described under “Risk Factors” under Part I, Item 1A of our most recent Annual Report. Many of the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report may be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “should,” “planned,” “will,” “may,” “intend,” “estimated,” “aim,” “on track,” “target,” “opportunity,” “tentative,” “positioning” and “potential,” among others. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this quarterly report are set forth in this quarterly report and in other reports or documents, and include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to sustain and grow revenues and free cash flow by offering video, Internet, telephone, advertising and other services to residential and commercial customers, to adequately meet the customer experience demands in our markets and to maintain and grow our customer base, particularly in the face of increasingly aggressive competition, the need for innovation and the related capital expenditures and the difficult economic conditions in the United States;
- the impact of competition from other market participants, including but not limited to incumbent telephone companies, direct broadcast satellite operators, wireless broadband and telephone providers, and digital subscriber line (“DSL”) providers and competition from video provided over the Internet;
- general business conditions, economic uncertainty or downturn, high unemployment levels and the level of activity in the housing sector;
- our ability to obtain programming at reasonable prices or to raise prices to offset, in whole or in part, the effects of higher programming costs (including retransmission consents);
- the effects of governmental regulation on our business;
- the availability and access, in general, of funds to meet our and our parent companies’ debt obligations, prior to or when they become due, and to fund our operations and necessary capital expenditures, either through (i) cash on hand, (ii) free cash flow, or (iii) access to the capital or credit markets; and
- our ability to comply with all covenants in our and our parent companies’ indentures and credit facilities, any violation of which, if not cured in a timely manner, could trigger a default of our other obligations under cross-default provisions.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. We are under no duty or obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this quarterly report.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

Item 1. Financial Statements.

**CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)**

	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	<u>December 31, 2010</u>
	<u>(Unaudited)</u>	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 153	\$ --
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	28	28
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$18 and \$17, respectively	243	246
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	29	25
Total current assets	<u>453</u>	<u>299</u>
INVESTMENT IN CABLE PROPERTIES:		
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	6,845	6,785
Franchises	5,257	5,257
Customer relationships, net	1,846	2,000
Goodwill	951	951
Total investment in cable properties	<u>14,899</u>	<u>14,993</u>
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	<u>146</u>	<u>153</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 15,498</u>	<u>\$ 15,445</u>
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 779	\$ 771
Payables to related party	227	255
Total current liabilities	<u>1,006</u>	<u>1,026</u>
LONG-TERM DEBT	<u>4,771</u>	<u>7,335</u>
LOANS PAYABLE – RELATED PARTY	<u>560</u>	<u>542</u>
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	<u>338</u>	<u>334</u>
MEMBER'S EQUITY:		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(66)	(57)
Member's equity	8,605	6,003
Total Charter Operating member's equity	<u>8,539</u>	<u>5,946</u>
Noncontrolling interest	<u>284</u>	<u>262</u>
Total member's equity	<u>8,823</u>	<u>6,208</u>
Total liabilities and member's equity	<u>\$ 15,498</u>	<u>\$ 15,445</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Unaudited

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
REVENUES	\$ 1,791	\$ 1,771	\$ 3,561	\$ 3,506
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Operating (excluding depreciation and amortization)	784	773	1,552	1,529
Selling, general and administrative	343	357	688	704
Depreciation and amortization	393	380	776	749
Other operating expenses, net	1	7	6	19
	<u>1,521</u>	<u>1,517</u>	<u>3,022</u>	<u>3,001</u>
Income from operations	<u>270</u>	<u>254</u>	<u>539</u>	<u>505</u>
OTHER EXPENSES:				
Interest expense, net	(100)	(140)	(209)	(275)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(53)	(17)	(120)	(18)
Other expenses, net	(2)	(2)	(2)	(5)
	<u>(155)</u>	<u>(159)</u>	<u>(331)</u>	<u>(298)</u>
Income before income taxes	115	95	208	207
INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE)	<u>6</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>(6)</u>
Consolidated net income	121	95	208	201
Less: Net income – noncontrolling interest	<u>(12)</u>	<u>(9)</u>	<u>(22)</u>	<u>(18)</u>
Net income – Charter Operating member	<u>\$ 109</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>	<u>\$ 186</u>	<u>\$ 183</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Unaudited

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Consolidated net income	\$ 208	\$ 201
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	776	749
Noncash interest expense	29	48
Loss on extinguishment of debt	120	17
Deferred income taxes	(4)	2
Other, net	15	13
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from dispositions:		
Accounts receivable	4	(1)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(4)	10
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	8	43
Receivables from and payables to related party	(26)	32
Net cash flows from operating activities	1,126	1,114
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(680)	(649)
Other, net	(14)	(4)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(694)	(653)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings of long-term debt	671	25
Borrowings from related parties	202	30
Repayments of long-term debt	(3,366)	(1,614)
Repayments to related parties	(202)	--
Payments for debt issuance costs	--	(31)
Contributions	2,647	714
Distributions	(231)	(54)
Other, net	--	(3)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(279)	(933)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	153	(472)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	28	533
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 181	\$ 61
CASH PAID FOR INTEREST	\$ 171	\$ 242

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Charter Communications Operating, LLC (“Charter Operating”) is a holding company whose principal assets at June 30, 2011 are the equity interest in its operating subsidiaries. Charter Operating is a direct subsidiary of CCO Holdings, LLC (“CCO Holdings”), which is an indirect subsidiary of Charter Communications, Inc. (“Charter”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Charter Operating and all of its subsidiaries where the underlying operations reside, which are collectively referred to herein as the “Company.” All significant intercompany accounts and transactions among consolidated entities have been eliminated.

The Company is a cable operator providing services in the United States. The Company offers to residential and commercial customers traditional cable video programming (basic and digital video), Internet services, and telephone services, as well as advanced video services such as Charter OnDemand™, high-definition television, and digital video recorder (“DVR”) service. The Company sells its cable video programming, Internet, telephone, and advanced video services primarily on a subscription basis. The Company also sells local advertising on cable networks and provides fiber connectivity to cellular towers.

On November 17, 2009, the Company and its parent companies’ Joint Plan of Reorganization (the “Plan”) was confirmed by order of the Bankruptcy Court, and became effective on November 30, 2009 (the “Effective Date”), the date on which the Company and its parent companies emerged from protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Upon the Company’s emergence from bankruptcy, the Company adopted fresh start accounting. This resulted in the Company becoming a new entity on December 1, 2009, with a new capital structure, a new accounting basis in the identifiable assets and liabilities assumed and no retained earnings or accumulated losses.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures typically included in Charter Operating’s Annual Report have been condensed or omitted for this quarterly report. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and are subject to review by regulatory authorities. However, in the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments, which consist of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Areas involving significant judgments and estimates include capitalization of labor and overhead costs; depreciation and amortization costs; impairments of property, plant and equipment, intangibles and goodwill; income taxes; contingencies; and programming expense. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2011 presentation.

Restricted cash on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of \$28 million represents amounts held in escrow accounts pending final resolution from the Bankruptcy Court. Restricted cash is included in cash and cash equivalents on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

2. Franchises, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, indefinite-lived and finite-lived intangible assets are presented in the following table:

	June 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:						
Franchises	\$ 5,257	\$ --	\$ 5,257	\$ 5,257	\$ --	\$ 5,257
Goodwill	951	--	951	951	--	951
	<u>\$ 6,208</u>	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ 6,208</u>	<u>\$ 6,208</u>	<u>\$ --</u>	<u>\$ 6,208</u>
Finite-lived intangible assets:						
Customer relationships	\$ 2,358	\$ 512	\$ 1,846	\$ 2,358	\$ 358	\$ 2,000
Other intangible assets	68	12	56	53	7	46
	<u>\$ 2,426</u>	<u>\$ 524</u>	<u>\$ 1,902</u>	<u>\$ 2,411</u>	<u>\$ 365</u>	<u>\$ 2,046</u>

Amortization expense related to customer relationships and other intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$80 million and \$84 million, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$159 million and \$170 million, respectively.

The Company expects amortization expense on its finite-lived intangible assets will be as follows.

6 months ending December 31, 2011	\$ 156
2012	289
2013	262
2014	236
2015	210
2016	183
Thereafter	566
	<u>\$ 1,902</u>

Actual amortization expense in future periods could differ from these estimates as a result of new intangible assets, acquisitions or divestitures, changes in useful lives, impairments and other relevant factors.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

3. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	<u>December 31, 2010</u>
Accounts payable – trade	\$ 130	\$ 144
Accrued capital expenditures	54	54
Accrued expenses:		
Interest	32	32
Programming costs	294	282
Compensation	77	72
Franchise-related fees	50	53
Other	142	134
	<u>\$ 779</u>	<u>\$ 771</u>

4. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	<u>June 30, 2011</u>		<u>December 31, 2010</u>	
	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Accreted Value</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Accreted Value</u>
Charter Communications Operating, LLC:				
8.00% senior second-lien notes due April 30, 2012	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,107	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,112
10.875% senior second-lien notes due September 15, 2014	546	586	546	591
Credit facilities	3,259	3,078	5,954	5,632
Long-Term Debt	<u>\$ 4,905</u>	<u>\$ 4,771</u>	<u>\$ 7,600</u>	<u>\$ 7,335</u>

The accreted values presented above represent the fair value of the notes as of the Effective Date, plus accretion to the balance sheet dates. However, the amount that is currently payable if the debt becomes immediately due is equal to the principal amount of the debt. The Company has availability under the revolving portion of its credit facility of approximately \$1.2 billion as of June 30, 2011, and as such, debt maturing in the next twelve months is classified as long-term.

In May 2011, CCO Holdings and CCO Holdings Capital Corp. closed on transactions in which they issued \$1.5 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.50% senior notes due 2021. Such notes are guaranteed by Charter. The net proceeds of the issuances were contributed by CCO Holdings to Charter Operating as a capital contribution and intercompany loan and were used to repay indebtedness under the Charter Operating credit facilities. The Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$53 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 related to these transactions.

In January 2011, CCO Holdings and CCO Holdings Capital Corp. closed on transactions in which they issued \$1.4 billion aggregate principal amount of 7.00% senior notes due 2019. Such notes are guaranteed by Charter. The net proceeds of the issuances were contributed by CCO Holdings to Charter Operating as a capital contribution and were used to repay indebtedness under the Charter Operating credit facilities. The Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$67 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to these transactions.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

In April 2010, CCO Holdings and CCO Holdings Capital Corp. closed on transactions in which they issued \$900 million aggregate principal amount of 7.875% Senior Notes due 2018 and \$700 million aggregate principal amount of 8.125% Senior Notes due 2020. Such notes are guaranteed by Charter. The net proceeds were used to finance the tender offers and redemptions in which \$800 million principal amount of CCO Holdings' outstanding 8.75% Senior Notes due 2013 and \$770 million principal amount of Charter Operating's outstanding 8.375% Senior Second Lien Notes due 2014 were repurchased. The repurchase of the Charter Operating notes resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 of approximately \$17 million.

On March 31, 2010, Charter Operating entered into an amended and restated credit agreement. The refinancing resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of approximately \$1 million.

5. Loans Payable – Related Party

Loans payable – related party as of June 30, 2011 consists of loans from Charter Communications Holding Company, LLC (“Charter Holdco”), CCH II, LLC (“CCH II”) and CCO Holdings to the Company of \$43 million, \$256 million and \$261 million, respectively. Loans payable-related party as of December 31, 2010 consists of loans from Charter Holdco, CCH II and CCO Holdings to the Company of \$42 million, \$248 million and \$252 million, respectively. Accrued interest of \$17 million and \$19 million was reclassified to loans payable – related party during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

6. Noncontrolling interest

Noncontrolling interest represents Charter's 5.6% membership interest and CCH I, LLC's (“CCH I”) 13% membership interest in CC VIII, LLC (“CC VIII”) of \$284 million and \$262 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Noncontrolling interest in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations represents the 2% accretion of the preferred membership interest in CC VIII plus approximately 18.6% of CC VIII's income.

7. Comprehensive Income

The Company reports changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements designated as hedging the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations that meet the effectiveness criteria in other comprehensive income. Consolidated comprehensive income was \$101 million and \$199 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$45 million and \$151 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Consolidated comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 included a \$20 million and \$9 million loss, respectively, on the change in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges, and a \$50 million loss for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

8. Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company uses interest rate swap agreements to manage its interest costs and reduce the Company's exposure to increases in floating interest rates. The Company manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates by maintaining a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. Using interest rate swap agreements, the Company agrees to exchange, at specified intervals through 2015, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to agreed-upon notional principal amounts.

The Company does not hold or issue derivative instruments for speculative trading purposes. The Company has certain interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Such instruments effectively convert variable interest payments on certain debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, realized derivative gains and losses offset related results on hedged items in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company has formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

transactions that receive hedge accounting. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, there was no cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate swap agreements.

The effect of derivative instruments on the Company's consolidated balance sheets is presented in the table below.

	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	<u>December 31, 2010</u>
Other long-term liabilities:		
Fair value of interest rate derivatives designated as hedges	\$ 66	\$ 57
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Interest rate derivatives designated as hedges	\$ (66)	\$ (57)

Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements that are designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations, and that meet effectiveness criteria are reported in other comprehensive income. The amounts are subsequently reclassified as an increase or decrease to interest expense in the same periods in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affected earnings (losses).

The effect of derivative instruments on the Company's consolidated statements of operations is presented in the table below.

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Other comprehensive income:				
Loss on interest rate derivatives designated as hedges (effective portion)	\$ (20)	\$ (50)	\$ (9)	\$ (50)
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense	\$ (10)	\$ (8)	\$ (20)	\$ (8)

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$2.0 billion in notional amounts of interest rate swap agreements outstanding. The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged were determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts.

9. Fair Value Measurements

Financial Assets and Liabilities

The Company has estimated the fair value of its financial instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 using available market information or other appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment, however, is required in interpreting market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize in a current market exchange.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and other current assets and liabilities approximate fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are based on quoted market prices and is classified within Level 1 (defined below) of the valuation hierarchy.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

A summary of the carrying value and fair value of the Company's long-term debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Debt				
Charter Operating notes	\$ 1,693	\$ 1,744	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,774
Credit facilities	3,078	3,243	5,632	5,913

The accounting guidance establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements, based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date, as follows:

- Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The interest rate derivatives designated as hedges were valued as \$66 million and \$57 million liabilities as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, using a present value calculation based on an implied forward LIBOR curve (adjusted for Charter Operating's or counterparties' credit risk) and were classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The weighted average pay rate for the Company's interest rate swap agreements was 2.25% (exclusive of applicable spread) at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Nonfinancial Assets and Liabilities

The Company's nonfinancial assets such as franchises, property, plant, and equipment, and other intangible assets are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis; however they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence that an impairment may exist. No impairments were recorded in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

10. Other Operating Expenses, Net

Other operating expenses, net consist of the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Loss on sale of assets, net	\$ --	\$ 2	\$ --	\$ 3
Special charges, net	1	5	6	16
	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 19</u>

Loss on sales of assets, net

Loss on sales of assets, net represents the loss recognized on the sale of fixed assets and cable systems.

Special charges, net

Special charges, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily includes severance charges. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, special charges, net primarily includes severance charges as well as net amounts of litigation settlements.

11. Income Taxes

Charter Operating is a single member limited liability company not subject to income tax. Charter Operating holds all operations through indirect subsidiaries. The majority of these indirect subsidiaries are limited liability companies that are not subject to income tax. However, certain of the limited liability companies are subject to state income tax. In addition, certain of Charter Operating's indirect subsidiaries are corporations that are subject to federal and state income tax.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded \$6 million of income tax benefit. Income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 included a \$9 million benefit for a state tax law change which was offset by \$9 million of expense in the six month period. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded \$6 million of income tax expense. Income tax expense was recognized through increases in deferred tax liabilities and current federal and state income tax expense.

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had net deferred income tax liabilities of approximately \$221 million and \$225 million, respectively, included in other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The net deferred tax liabilities relate to certain of the Company's indirect subsidiaries, which file separate income tax returns.

No tax years for Charter, Charter Holdco or the Company's indirect subsidiaries are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service. Tax years ending 2007 through 2010 remain subject to examination and assessment. Years prior to 2007 remain open solely for purposes of examination of net operating loss and credit carryforwards.

12. Related Party Transactions

The following sets forth certain transactions in which the Company and the directors, executive officers, and affiliates of Charter and the Company are involved.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

Allen Agreement

In connection with the Plan, Charter, Mr. Allen and Charter Investment, Inc. (“CII”) entered into a separate restructuring agreement (as amended, the “Allen Agreement”), in settlement and compromise of their legal, contractual and equitable rights, claims and remedies against Charter and its subsidiaries. In addition to any amounts received by virtue of CII’s holding other claims against Charter and its subsidiaries, on the Effective Date, CII was issued 2.2 million shares of the new Charter Class B common stock and 35% (determined on a fully diluted basis) of the total voting power of all new capital stock of Charter. Each share of new Charter Class B common stock was convertible, at the option of the holder or the Disinterested Members of the Board of Directors of Charter, into one share of new Charter Class A common stock, and was subject to significant restrictions on transfer and conversion. Certain holders of new Charter Class A common stock (and securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable therefore) and new Charter Class B common stock received certain customary registration rights with respect to their shares. As of December 31, 2010, Mr. Allen held all 2.2 million shares of Class B common stock of Charter. Pursuant to the terms of the Certificate of Incorporation of Charter, on January 18, 2011, the Disinterested Members of the Board of Directors of Charter caused a conversion of the shares of Class B common stock into shares of Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis. As a result of such conversion, Mr. Allen no longer has the right to appoint four directors and the Class B directors became Class A directors. On January 18, 2011, directors William L. McGrath and Christopher M. Temple, both former Class B directors, resigned from Charter’s board of directors. Edgar Lee and Stan Parker were appointed to fill the vacant positions.

13. Contingencies

On August 28, 2008, a lawsuit was filed against Charter and Charter Communications, LLC (“Charter LLC”) in the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (now entitled, *Marc Goodell et al. v. Charter Communications, LLC and Charter Communications, Inc.*). The plaintiffs sought to represent a class of current and former broadband, system and other types of technicians who are or were employed by Charter or Charter LLC in the states of Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri or California. Plaintiffs alleged that Charter and Charter LLC violated certain wage and hour statutes of those four states by failing to pay technicians for all hours worked. In May 2010, the parties entered into a settlement agreement disposing of all claims, including those potential wage and hour claims for potential class members in additional states beyond the four identified above. On September 24, 2010, the court granted final approval of the settlement. The Company had accrued and subsequently paid the settlement costs associated with this case. The Company and its parent companies have been subjected, in the normal course of business, to the assertion of other wage and hour claims and could be subjected to additional such claims in the future. The Company cannot predict the outcome of any such claims nor can they estimate a reasonable range of loss.

On March 27, 2009, Charter filed its Chapter 11 petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. On the same day, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., (“JPMorgan”), for itself and as Administrative Agent under the Charter Operating Credit Agreement, filed an adversary proceeding (the “JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding”) in Bankruptcy Court against Charter Operating and CCO Holdings seeking a declaration that there were events of default under the Charter Operating Credit Agreement. JPMorgan, as well as other parties, objected to the Plan. The Bankruptcy Court jointly held 19 days of trial in the JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding and on the objections to the Plan.

On November 17, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court issued its Order and Opinion confirming the Plan over the objections of JPMorgan and various other objectors. The Court also entered an order ruling in favor of Charter in the JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding. Several objectors attempted to stay the consummation of the Plan, but those motions were denied by the Bankruptcy Court and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Charter consummated the Plan on November 30, 2009 and reinstated the Charter Operating Credit Agreement and certain other debt of its subsidiaries.

Six appeals were filed relating to confirmation of the Plan. The parties initially pursuing appeals were: (i) JPMorgan; (ii) Wilmington Trust Company (“Wilmington Trust”) (as indenture trustee for the holders of the 8%

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

senior second lien notes due 2012 and 8.375% senior second lien notes due 2014 issued by and among Charter Operating and Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp. and the 10.875% senior second lien notes due 2014 issued by and among Charter Operating and Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp.); (iii) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") (in its capacities as successor Administrative Agent and successor Collateral Agent for the third lien prepetition secured lenders to CCO Holdings under the CCO Holdings credit facility); (iv) Law Debenture Trust Company of New York ("Law Debenture Trust") (as the Trustee with respect to the \$479 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% convertible senior notes due 2027 issued by Charter which are no longer outstanding following consummation of the Plan); (v) R2 Investments, LDC ("R2 Investments") (an equity interest holder in Charter); and (vi) certain plaintiffs representing a putative class in a securities action against three former Charter officers or directors filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas (Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund, Indiana Laborers Pension Fund, and Iron Workers District Council of Western New York and Vicinity Pension Fund, in the action styled *Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund v. Allen, et al.*, Case No. 4:09-cv-00405-JLH (E.D. Ark.)).

Charter Operating amended its senior secured credit facilities effective March 31, 2010. In connection with the closing of these amendments, each of Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan, for itself and on behalf of the lenders under the Charter Operating senior secured credit facilities, agreed to dismiss the appeal of the Company's Confirmation Order pending before the District Court for the Southern District of New York and to waive any objections to the Company's Confirmation Order issued by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The lenders filed their stipulation of that dismissal and waiver of objections and in April 2010, the case was dismissed. On December 3, 2009, Wilmington Trust withdrew its notice of appeal. In April 2010, Wells Fargo filed its Stipulation of Dismissal of their appeal on behalf of the lenders under the CCO Holdings credit facility and in April 2010, the case was dismissed. The appeals by Law Debenture Trust and R2 Investments were denied by the District Court for the Southern District of New York in March 2011. A Notice of Appeal of that denial has been filed by both Law Debenture Trust and R2. The appeals of the securities plaintiffs were denied by the District Court for the Southern District of New York in July 2011. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the appeals nor can they estimate a reasonable range of loss.

The Company and its parent companies are party to lawsuits and claims that arise in the ordinary course of conducting its business. The ultimate outcome of these other legal matters pending against the Company and its parent companies cannot be predicted, and although such lawsuits and claims are not expected individually to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity, such lawsuits could have, in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

14. Stock Compensation Plans

Charter's 2009 Stock Plan provides for grants of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance units and performance shares, share awards, phantom stock, restricted stock units and restricted stock. Directors, officers and other employees of Charter and its subsidiaries, as well as others performing consulting services for the Company and its parent companies, are eligible for grants under the 2009 Stock Plan.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Charter granted 17,600 and 30,100 shares of restricted stock, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, Charter granted 2,100 and 42,000 shares of restricted stock, respectively. Restricted stock vests annually over a one to three-year period beginning from the date of grant. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Charter granted 2 million stock options. A portion of the stock options vest annually over four years from either the grant date or delayed vesting commencement dates. The remaining stock options vest based on achievement of stock price hurdles over a delayed vesting schedule. All stock options expire ten years from the grant date. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Charter granted 230,500 restricted stock units. Restricted stock units have no voting rights and vest ratably over four years from either the grant date or delayed vesting commencement dates. As of June 30, 2011, total unrecognized compensation remaining to be recognized in future periods totaled \$25 million for restricted

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS OPERATING, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)
(dollars in millions)

stock, \$61 million for stock options and \$12 million for restricted stock units and the weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is 2 years for restricted stock, 3 years for stock options and 5 years for restricted stock units.

The Company recorded \$9 million and \$15 million of stock compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$5 million and \$10 million of stock compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, which is included in selling, general, and administrative expense.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

General

Charter Communications Operating, LLC ("Charter Operating") is a holding company whose principal assets at June 30, 2011 are the equity interests in its operating subsidiaries. Charter Operating is a direct subsidiary of CCO Holdings, LLC ("CCO Holdings"), which is an indirect subsidiary of Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Charter Operating and all of its subsidiaries where the underlying operations reside.

We are a cable operator providing services in the United States with approximately 5.2 million residential and commercial customers at June 30, 2011. We offer our customers traditional cable video programming (basic and digital video), Internet services, and telephone services, as well as advanced video services such as Charter OnDemand™ ("OnDemand"), high-definition television and digital video recorder ("DVR") service. We also sell local advertising on cable networks and provide fiber connectivity to cellular towers.

Overview

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, adjusted earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Adjusted EBITDA") was \$673 million and \$1.3 billion, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, Adjusted EBITDA was \$646 million and \$1.3 billion, respectively. See "— Use of Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow" for further information on Adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow. Adjusted EBITDA increased as a result of continued growth in our commercial services along with growth in our Internet and telephone customers, combined with lower selling, general and administrative costs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our income from operations was \$270 million and \$539 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, our income from operations was \$254 million and \$505 million, respectively. The increase in income from operations is primarily due to increases in revenues offset by increases in operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we had a decrease in total customers of approximately 12,100 and lost approximately 107,500 basic video customers. We believe that continued competition and the weakened economic conditions in the United States, including the housing market and relatively high unemployment levels, have adversely affected consumer demand for our services. These conditions combined with seasonality and disciplined customer acquisition contributed to video revenues declining 3% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding periods in 2010. Total revenue growth was 1% and 2% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2010 as we continued to grow our commercial, Internet and telephone businesses. However, we believe competition from wireless and economic factors have contributed to an increase in the number of homes that replace their traditional telephone service with wireless service thereby impacting the growth of our telephone business. If these conditions do not improve, we believe the growth of our business and results of operations will be further adversely affected which may contribute to future impairments of our franchises and goodwill.

The following table summarizes our customer statistics for basic video, digital video, Internet, and telephone as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Approximate as of	
	June 30, 2011 (a)	June 30, 2010 (a)
Video (b)	4,173,400	4,466,600
Internet (c)	3,352,500	3,187,900
Telephone (d)	1,747,600	1,658,100
Residential PSUs (e)	<u>9,273,500</u>	<u>9,312,600</u>
Video (b)(f)	239,500	249,900
Internet (c)(g)	149,100	129,400
Telephone (d)	68,500	50,000
Commercial PSUs (e)	<u>457,100</u>	<u>429,300</u>
Digital video RGUs (h)	<u>3,386,700</u>	<u>3,337,500</u>
Total RGUs (i)	<u><u>13,117,300</u></u>	<u><u>13,079,400</u></u>

After giving effect to sales of cable systems in 2010, residential basic video customers, residential Internet customers and residential telephone customers would have been approximately 4,407,000, 3,163,700, and 1,656,300, respectively, as of June 30, 2010. After giving effect to sales of cable systems in 2010, commercial basic video customers, commercial Internet customers, commercial telephone customers and digital revenue generating units would have been approximately 243,800, 128,300, 49,900 and 3,302,000, respectively, as of June 30, 2010.

- (a) We calculate the aging of customer accounts based on the monthly billing cycle for each account. On that basis, at June 30, 2011 and 2010, customers include approximately 16,100 and 20,800 persons, respectively, whose accounts were over 60 days past due in payment, approximately 2,200 and 2,500 persons, respectively, whose accounts were over 90 days past due in payment, and approximately 1,000 and 1,300 persons, respectively, whose accounts were over 120 days past due in payment.
- (b) “Video customers” represent those customers who subscribe to our video cable services.
- (c) “Internet customers” represent those customers who subscribe to our Internet service.
- (d) “Telephone customers” represent those customers who subscribe to our telephone service.
- (e) “Primary Service Units” or “PSUs” represent the total of video, Internet and telephone customers.
- (f) Included within commercial video customers are those in commercial and multi-dwelling structures, which are calculated on an equivalent bulk unit (“EBU”) basis. We calculate EBUs by dividing the bulk price charged to accounts in an area by the published rate charged to non-bulk residential customers in that market for the comparable tier of service rather than the most prevalent price charged. This EBU method of estimating basic video customers is consistent with the methodology used in determining costs paid to programmers and is consistent with the methodology used by other multiple system operators (“MSOs”). As we increase our published video rates to residential customers without a corresponding increase in the prices charged to commercial service or multi-dwelling customers, our EBU count will decline even if there is no real loss in commercial service or multi-dwelling customers.
- (g) Prior year commercial Internet customers were adjusted to reflect current year presentation.
- (h) “Digital video RGUs” include all video customers that rent one or more digital set-top boxes or cable cards.
- (i) “Revenue Generating Units” or “RGUs” represent the total of all basic video, digital video, Internet and telephone customers, not counting additional outlets within one household. For example, a customer who receives two types of service (such as basic video and digital video) would be treated as two RGUs and, if that

customer added on Internet service, the customer would be treated as three RGUs. This statistic is computed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Cable & Telecommunications Association ("NCTA").

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

For a discussion of our critical accounting policies and the means by which we develop estimates therefore, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2010 Annual Report.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth the percentages of revenues that items in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations constituted for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
REVENUES	\$ 1,791	100%	\$ 1,771	100%	\$ 3,561	100%	\$ 3,506	100%
COSTS AND EXPENSES:								
Operating (excluding depreciation and amortization)	784	44%	773	44%	1,552	44%	1,529	44%
Selling, general and administrative	343	19%	357	20%	688	19%	704	20%
Depreciation and amortization	393	22%	380	22%	776	22%	749	21%
Other operating expenses, net	1	--	7	--	6	--	19	1%
	<u>1,521</u>	<u>85%</u>	<u>1,517</u>	<u>86%</u>	<u>3,022</u>	<u>85%</u>	<u>3,001</u>	<u>86%</u>
Income from operations	<u>270</u>	<u>15%</u>	<u>254</u>	<u>14%</u>	<u>539</u>	<u>15%</u>	<u>505</u>	<u>14%</u>
OTHER EXPENSES:								
Interest expense, net	(100)		(140)		(209)		(275)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(53)		(17)		(120)		(18)	
Other expenses, net	(2)		(2)		(2)		(5)	
	<u>(155)</u>		<u>(159)</u>		<u>(331)</u>		<u>(298)</u>	
Income before income taxes	115		95		208		207	
INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE)	<u>6</u>		<u>--</u>		<u>--</u>		<u>(6)</u>	
Consolidated net income	121		95		208		201	
Less: Net income – noncontrolling interest	(12)		(9)		(22)		(18)	
Net income – Charter Operating member	<u>\$ 109</u>		<u>\$ 86</u>		<u>\$ 186</u>		<u>\$ 183</u>	

Revenues. Average monthly revenue per basic video customer increased to \$134 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from \$124 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and increased to \$132 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from \$122 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Average monthly revenue per basic video customer represents total revenue, divided by the number of respective months, divided by the average number of basic video customers during the respective period. Revenue growth primarily reflects increases in the number of residential telephone, commercial services, Internet customers, price increases, and incremental video revenues from DVR and high-definition television services, offset by a decrease in basic video customers. Asset sales reduced the increase in revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 by approximately \$18 million and \$36 million, respectively.

Revenues by service offering were as follows (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		2011 over 2010	
	Revenues	% of Revenues	Revenues	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Video	\$ 903	51%	\$ 932	52%	\$ (29)	(3%)
Internet	419	23%	402	23%	17	4%
Telephone	213	12%	206	12%	7	3%
Commercial	141	8%	121	7%	20	17%
Advertising sales	76	4%	72	4%	4	6%
Other	39	2%	38	2%	1	3%
	<u>\$ 1,791</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 1,771</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 20</u>	<u>1%</u>

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010		2011 over 2010	
	Revenues	% of Revenues	Revenues	% of Revenues	Change	% Change
Video	\$ 1,811	51%	\$ 1,858	53%	\$ (47)	(3%)
Internet	831	23%	797	23%	34	4%
Telephone	425	12%	404	11%	21	5%
Commercial	278	8%	239	7%	39	16%
Advertising sales	138	4%	131	4%	7	5%
Other	78	2%	77	2%	1	1%
	<u>\$ 3,561</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 3,506</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 55</u>	<u>2%</u>

Video revenues consist primarily of revenues from basic and digital video services provided to our non-commercial customers, as well as franchise fees, equipment rental and video installation revenue. Residential basic video customers decreased by 293,200 customers from June 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2011, 59,600 of which were related to asset sales. Digital video customers increased by 49,200 during the same period, offset by asset sales of 35,500 customers. The decrease in video revenues is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Incremental video services and rate adjustments	\$ 2	\$ 9
Increase in digital video customers	9	23
Decrease in basic video customers	(29)	(56)
Asset sales	(11)	(23)
	<u>\$ (29)</u>	<u>\$ (47)</u>

Residential Internet customers grew by 164,600 customers from June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The increase was reduced by asset sales of 24,200 residential Internet customers. The increase in Internet revenues from our residential customers is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Increase in residential Internet customers	\$ 23	\$ 47
Rate adjustments and service level changes	(3)	(7)
Asset sales	(3)	(6)
	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>

Average monthly revenue per residential Internet customer decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding periods in 2010 due to an increase in customers taking entry level products as a result of our speed upgrades offset by an increase in home networking customers.

Residential telephone customers grew by 89,500 customers from June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2011, offset by asset sales of 1,800 residential telephone customers. The increase in telephone revenues from our residential customers is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Increase in residential telephone customers	\$ 14	\$ 31
Rate adjustments and service upgrades	(6)	(9)
Asset sales	(1)	(1)
	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$ 21</u>

Average monthly revenue per residential telephone customer decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010 due to promotional activity to increase sales of The Charter Bundle[®].

The increase in commercial revenues is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Sales to small-to-medium sized business customers	\$ 13	\$ 24
Carrier site customers	3	10
Other	5	7
Asset sales	(1)	(2)
	<u>\$ 20</u>	<u>\$ 39</u>

Increases in commercial revenues were the result of improved sales productivity and our strategic investments, such as DOCSIS 3.0. Commercial customers increased 27,800 from June 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2011. The increase was reduced by asset sales of 7,300 commercial customers.

Advertising sales revenues consist primarily of revenues from commercial advertising customers, programmers, and other vendors. Advertising sales revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 increased primarily as a result of an increase in revenue from the automotive and retail sectors combined with a \$3 million change to account for revenues received from selling advertising for third parties on a gross basis rather than a net basis. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we received \$13 million and \$11 million, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, we received \$23 million and \$21 million, respectively, in advertising sales revenues from vendors. Asset sales reduced the increase in advertising sales revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 by approximately \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Other revenues consist of home shopping, late payment fees, wire maintenance fees and other miscellaneous revenues. The increase in other revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily the result of increases in wire maintenance fees. Asset sales reduced the increase in other revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 by approximately \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Operating expenses. The increase in operating expenses is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Programming costs	\$ 16	\$ 30
Service labor costs	5	11
Commercial services	(1)	1
Asset sales	(9)	(19)
	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$ 23</u>

Programming costs were approximately \$469 million and \$459 million, representing 60% of total operating expenses, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and were approximately \$935 million and \$916 million, representing 60% of total operating expenses, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Programming costs consist primarily of costs paid to programmers for basic, premium, digital, OnDemand, and pay-per-view programming. The increase in programming costs is primarily a result of annual contractual rate adjustments, offset in part by asset sales and customer losses. Programming costs were also offset by the amortization of payments received from programmers of \$2 million and \$4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$4 million and \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We expect programming expenses to continue to increase, and at a higher rate than in 2010, due to a variety of factors, including amounts paid for retransmission consent, annual increases imposed by programmers, and additional programming, including high-definition, OnDemand, and pay-per-view programming, being provided to our customers.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Stock compensation	\$ 4	\$ 5
Commercial services	2	5
Other, net	3	1
Marketing costs	(6)	3
Bad debt and collection costs	(6)	(13)
Customer care	(6)	(8)
Asset sales	(5)	(9)
	<u>\$ (14)</u>	<u>\$ (16)</u>

The decrease in marketing costs for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and the increase for the six months ended June 30, 2011 includes approximately \$7 million of favorable adjustments related to expenses previously accrued on 2010 marketing campaigns.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$13 million and \$27 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding periods in 2010, respectively, primarily representing depreciation on capital expenditures, offset by certain assets becoming fully depreciated.

Other operating expenses, net. The change in other operating expense, net is attributable to the following (dollars in millions):

	Three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)	Six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to six months ended June 30, 2010 Increase / (Decrease)
Special charges, net	\$ (4)	\$ (10)
Loss on sales of assets, net	(2)	(3)
	<u>\$ (6)</u>	<u>\$ (13)</u>

The change in special charges in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the prior periods is the result of litigation settlements plus severance charges. For more information, see Note 10 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contained in "Item 1. Financial Statements."

Interest expense, net. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to June 30, 2010, net interest expense decreased by \$40 million and \$66 million, respectively, which was primarily a result of a decrease in our weighted average debt outstanding from \$8.8 billion and \$9.4 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, to \$5.2 billion and \$5.6 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, offset by an increase in our weighted average interest rate from 4.7% and 4.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, to 5.6% and 5.4% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Loss on extinguishment of debt. Loss on extinguishment of debt consists of the following for the periods presented:

	<u>Three months ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six months ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Charter Operating credit facility prepayments	\$ (53)	\$ --	\$ (120)	\$ --
Charter Operating notes repurchases	--	(17)	--	(17)
Charter Operating credit facility amendment	--	--	--	(1)
	<u>\$ (53)</u>	<u>\$ (17)</u>	<u>\$ (120)</u>	<u>\$ (18)</u>

For more information, see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contained in “Item 1. Financial Statements.”

Income tax benefit (expense). Income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was realized as a result of a state tax law change. Income tax expense was recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2010 through increases in deferred tax liabilities and current federal and state income tax expense of certain of our indirect subsidiaries.

Net income – noncontrolling interest. Noncontrolling interest includes the 2% accretion of the preferred membership interests in CC VIII, LLC (“CC VIII”) plus approximately 18.6% of CC VIII’s income, net of accretion. For more information, see Note 6 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contained in “Item 1. Financial Statements.”

Net income – Charter Operating member. Net income – Charter Operating member increased \$23 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily as a result of an increase in revenue and a decrease in interest expense offset by an increase in loss on extinguishment of debt.

Use of Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow

We use certain measures that are not defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) to evaluate various aspects of our business. Adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow are non-GAAP financial measures and should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, consolidated net income and net cash flows from operating activities reported in accordance with GAAP. These terms, as defined by us, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow are reconciled to consolidated net income and net cash flows from operating activities, respectively, below.

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as consolidated net income plus net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, stock compensation expense, loss on extinguishment of debt, and other expenses, such as special charges, loss on sale or retirement of assets and reorganization items. As such, it eliminates the significant non-cash depreciation and amortization expense that results from the capital-intensive nature of our businesses as well as other non-cash or special items, and is unaffected by our capital structure or investment activities. Adjusted EBITDA is used by management and Charter’s board of directors to evaluate the performance of our business. For this reason, it is a significant component of Charter’s annual incentive compensation program. However, this measure is limited in that it does not reflect the periodic costs of certain capitalized tangible and intangible assets used in generating revenues and our cash cost of financing. Management evaluates these costs through other financial measures.

Free cash flow is defined as net cash flows from operating activities, less capital expenditures and changes in accrued expenses related to capital expenditures.

We believe that Adjusted EBITDA and free cash flow provide information useful to investors in assessing our performance and our ability to service our debt, fund operations and make additional investments with internally generated funds. In addition, Adjusted EBITDA generally correlates to the leverage ratio calculation under our credit facilities or outstanding notes to determine compliance with the covenants contained in the facilities and notes (all such documents have been previously filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission).

Adjusted EBITDA includes management fee expenses in the amount of \$35 million and \$36 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$70 million and \$71 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which expense amounts are excluded for the purposes of calculating compliance with leverage covenants.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Consolidated net income	\$ 121	\$ 95	\$ 208	\$ 201
Plus: Interest expense, net	100	140	209	275
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6)	--	--	6
Depreciation and amortization	393	380	776	749
Stock compensation expense	9	5	15	10
Loss on extinguishment of debt	53	17	120	18
Other, net	3	9	8	24
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 673	\$ 646	\$ 1,336	\$ 1,283
Net cash flows from operating activities	\$ 560	\$ 514	\$ 1,126	\$ 1,114
Less: Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(324)	(339)	(680)	(649)
Change in accrued expenses related to capital expenditures	19	15	--	--
Free cash flow	\$ 255	\$ 190	\$ 446	\$ 465

Liquidity and Capital Resources

This section contains a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources, including a discussion of our cash position, sources and uses of cash, access to credit facilities and other financing sources, historical financing activities, cash needs, capital expenditures and outstanding debt.

Overview of Our Contractual Obligations and Liquidity

We have significant amounts of debt. The accreted value of our debt as of June 30, 2011 was \$4.8 billion, consisting of \$3.1 billion of credit facility debt and \$1.7 billion of notes. Our business requires significant cash to fund principal and interest payments on our debt. For the remainder of 2011, \$16 million of our debt matures. As of June 30, 2011, \$1.1 billion of our debt matures in 2012, \$230 million in 2013, \$663 million in 2014 and \$2.9 billion thereafter. As of December 31, 2010, as shown in our Annual Report we had other contractual obligations totaling \$644 million. We also expect to incur capital expenditures of approximately \$1.3 billion to \$1.4 billion for 2011.

Our projected cash needs and projected sources of liquidity depend upon, among other things, our actual results, and the timing and amount of our expenditures. Free cash flow was \$255 million and \$446 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. We expect to continue to generate free cash flow. As of June 30, 2011, the amount available under our revolving credit facility was approximately \$1.2 billion. We expect to utilize free cash flow and availability under our revolving credit facilities as well as future refinancing transactions to further extend or reduce the maturities of our principal obligations. The timing and terms of any refinancing transactions will be subject to market conditions. Additionally, we may, from time to time, depending on market conditions and other factors, use cash on hand and the proceeds from securities offerings or other borrowings, to retire our debt through open market purchases, privately negotiated purchases, tender offers, or redemption provisions. We believe we have sufficient liquidity from cash on hand, free cash flow and our revolving credit facility as well as access to the capital markets to fund our projected operating cash needs.

We continue to evaluate the deployment of our anticipated future free cash flow including to reduce our leverage, to invest in our business growth and other strategic opportunities, including mergers and acquisitions as well as stock repurchases and dividends. As possible acquisitions, swaps or dispositions arise in our industry, we actively review them against our objectives including, among other considerations, improving the operational efficiency and clustering of our business and achieving appropriate return targets, and we may participate to the extent we believe these possibilities present attractive opportunities. Although we are actively analyzing and considering several such

possibilities, we do not believe any of these are both probable and material, and there can be no assurance that we will actually complete any acquisition, disposition or system swap or that any such transactions will be material to our operations or results.

Free Cash Flow

Free cash flow was \$255 million and \$190 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$446 million and \$465 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in free cash flow for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010 is primarily due to changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding the change in accrued interest, that provided \$133 million less cash during the same period of 2011 and an increase of \$31 million in capital expenditures, offset by a decrease of \$71 million in cash paid for interest and by revenues increasing at a faster rate than cash expenses. The \$133 million reduction in cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities is driven by one-time benefits in the first half of 2010 post emergence from bankruptcy along with timing of payments in 2011.

Limitations on Distributions

Distributions by Charter's subsidiaries to a parent company for payment of principal on parent company notes are restricted under indentures and credit facilities governing our and our parent companies' indebtedness, unless there is no default under the applicable indenture and credit facilities, and unless each applicable subsidiary's leverage ratio test is met at the time of such distribution. For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, there was no default under any of these indentures or credit facilities and each subsidiary met its applicable leverage ratio tests based on June 30, 2011 financial results. Such distributions would be restricted, however, if any such subsidiary fails to meet these tests at the time of the contemplated distribution. In the past, certain subsidiaries have from time to time failed to meet their leverage ratio test. There can be no assurance that they will satisfy these tests at the time of the contemplated distribution. Distributions by Charter Operating for payment of principal on parent company notes are further restricted by the covenants in its credit facilities.

Distributions by CCO Holdings, LLC ("CCO Holdings") and Charter Operating to a parent company for payment of parent company interest are permitted if there is no default under the aforementioned indentures and CCO Holdings and Charter Operating credit facilities.

In addition to the limitation on distributions under the various indentures discussed above, distributions by Charter Operating may be limited by applicable law, including the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, under which it may only make distributions if it has "surplus" as defined in the act.

Historical Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

Cash and Cash Equivalents. We held \$181 million in cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash of \$28 million, as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$28 million as of December 31, 2010.

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$12 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily as a result of a decrease of \$71 million in cash paid for interest and revenues increasing at a faster rate than cash expenses, offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities that provided \$133 million less cash during the same period of 2011 driven by one-time benefits in the first half of 2010 post emergence from bankruptcy along with timing of payments in 2011.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$694 million and \$653 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase is primarily due to an increase of \$31 million in purchases of property, plant, and equipment as a result of capital investments to enhance our residential and commercial products and services capabilities.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$279 million and \$933 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in cash used during the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to the corresponding period in 2010, was primarily the result of increased contributions from our parent companies offset by an increase in the amount by which repayments of long-term debt exceeded borrowings of long-term debt and distributions to our parent company.

Capital Expenditures

We have significant ongoing capital expenditure requirements. Capital expenditures were \$680 million and \$649 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and increased as a result of investments made to move into new commercial segments, incremental capital for storm-related damage, and bandwidth reclamation projects such as switched-digital video launches.

During 2011, we expect capital expenditures to be between \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion. We expect the nature of these expenditures will continue to be composed primarily of purchases of customer premise equipment related to advanced video services, scalable infrastructure and support capital. The actual amount of our capital expenditures depends in part on the deployment of advanced video services and offerings. Capital expenditures will increase if there is accelerated growth in Internet, telephone, commercial business or digital customers or there is an increased need to respond to competitive pressures by expanding the delivery of other advanced video services.

Our capital expenditures are funded primarily from free cash flow and borrowings on our credit facility.

The following table presents our major capital expenditures categories in accordance with NCTA disclosure guidelines for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The disclosure is intended to provide more consistency in the reporting of capital expenditures among peer companies in the cable industry. These disclosure guidelines are not required disclosures under GAAP, nor do they impact our accounting for capital expenditures under GAAP (dollars in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Customer premise equipment (a)	\$ 130	\$ 140	\$ 287	\$ 296
Scalable infrastructure (b)	85	108	207	195
Line extensions (c)	29	22	49	38
Upgrade/Rebuild (d)	7	7	12	16
Support capital (e)	73	62	125	104
Total capital expenditures (f)	\$ 324	\$ 339	\$ 680	\$ 649

- (a) Customer premise equipment includes costs incurred at the customer residence to secure new customers, revenue units and additional bandwidth revenues. It also includes customer installation costs and customer premise equipment (e.g., set-top boxes and cable modems, etc.).
- (b) Scalable infrastructure includes costs not related to customer premise equipment or our network, to secure growth of new customers, revenue units, and additional bandwidth revenues, or provide service enhancements (e.g., headend equipment).
- (c) Line extensions include network costs associated with entering new service areas (e.g., fiber/coaxial cable, amplifiers, electronic equipment, make-ready and design engineering).
- (d) Upgrade/rebuild includes costs to modify or replace existing fiber/coaxial cable networks, including betterments.
- (e) Support capital includes costs associated with the replacement or enhancement of non-network assets due to technological and physical obsolescence (e.g., non-network equipment, land, buildings and vehicles).
- (f) Total capital expenditures includes \$45 million and \$34 million of capital expenditures related to commercial services for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$72 million and \$52 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to various market risks, including fluctuations in interest rates. We have used interest rate swap agreements to manage our interest costs and reduce our exposure to increases in floating interest rates. We manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates by maintaining a mix of fixed and variable rate debt. Using interest rate swap agreements, we agree to exchange, at specified intervals through 2015, the difference between fixed and variable interest amounts calculated by reference to agreed-upon notional principal amounts.

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the accreted value of our debt was approximately \$4.8 billion and \$7.3 billion, respectively. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the weighted average interest rate on the credit facility debt, including the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, was approximately 4.6% and 3.8%, respectively, and the weighted average interest rate on the notes was approximately 9.0% and 9.0%, respectively, resulting in a blended weighted average interest rate of 6.1% and 4.9%, respectively. The interest rate on approximately 74% and 48% of the total principal amount of our debt was effectively fixed, including the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

We do not hold or issue derivative instruments for speculative trading purposes. We have interest rate derivative instruments that have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. Such instruments effectively convert variable interest payments on certain debt instruments into fixed payments. For qualifying hedges, derivative gains and losses offset related results on hedged items in the consolidated statements of operations. We have formally documented, designated and assessed the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, there was no cash flow hedge ineffectiveness on interest rate swap agreements.

Changes in the fair value of interest rate agreements that are designated as hedging instruments of the variability of cash flows associated with floating-rate debt obligations, and that meet effectiveness criteria are reported in other comprehensive income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, losses of \$20 million and \$9 million, respectively, and for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, losses of \$50 million related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, were recorded in other comprehensive income. The amounts are subsequently reclassified as an increase or decrease to interest expense in the same periods in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings.

The table set forth below summarizes the fair values and contract terms of financial instruments subject to interest rate risk maintained by us as of June 30, 2011 (dollars in millions):

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value at June 30, 2011
Debt:									
Fixed Rate	\$ --	\$ 1,100	\$ --	\$ 546	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 1,646	\$ 1,744
Average Interest Rate	--	8.00%	--	10.88%	--	--	--	8.95%	
Variable Rate	\$ 16	\$ 31	\$ 230	\$ 117	\$ 30	\$ 2,835	\$ --	\$ 3,259	\$ 3,243
Average Interest Rate	3.57%	3.94%	3.47%	5.15%	6.60%	7.26%	--	6.86%	
Interest Rate Instruments:									
Variable to Fixed Rate	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 900	\$ 800	\$ 300	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 2,000	\$ 66
Average Pay Rate	--	--	5.21%	5.65%	5.99%	--	--	5.50%	
Average Receive Rate	--	--	4.68%	5.55%	6.30%	--	--	5.27%	

At June 30, 2011, we had \$2.0 billion in notional amounts of interest rate swaps outstanding. The notional amounts of interest rate instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, thus, are not a measure of our exposure to credit loss. The amounts exchanged are determined by reference to the notional amount and the other terms of the contracts. The estimated fair value is determined using a present value calculation based on an implied forward LIBOR curve (adjusted for Charter Operating's or counterparties' credit risk). Interest rates on variable debt are estimated using the average implied forward LIBOR for the year of maturity based on the yield curve in effect at June 30, 2011 including applicable bank spread.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to the information generated for use in this quarterly report. The evaluation was based in part upon reports and certifications provided by a number of executives. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurances that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based upon the above evaluation, we believe that our controls provide such reasonable assurances.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION.

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Bankruptcy Proceedings

On March 27, 2009, Charter filed its Chapter 11 petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. On the same day, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., (“JPMorgan”), for itself and as Administrative Agent under the Charter Operating Credit Agreement, filed an adversary proceeding (the “JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding”) in Bankruptcy Court against Charter Operating and CCO Holdings seeking a declaration that there were events of default under the Charter Operating Credit Agreement. JPMorgan, as well as other parties, objected to the Plan. The Bankruptcy Court jointly held 19 days of trial in the JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding and on the objections to the Plan.

On November 17, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court issued its Order and Opinion confirming the Plan over the objections of JPMorgan and various other objectors. The Court also entered an order ruling in favor of Charter in the JPMorgan Adversary Proceeding. Several objectors attempted to stay the consummation of the Plan, but those motions were denied by the Bankruptcy Court and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Charter consummated the Plan on November 30, 2009 and reinstated the Charter Operating Credit Agreement and certain other debt of its subsidiaries.

Six appeals were filed relating to confirmation of the Plan. The parties initially pursuing appeals were: (i) JPMorgan; (ii) Wilmington Trust Company (“Wilmington Trust”) (as indenture trustee for the holders of the 8% Senior Second Lien Notes due 2012 and 8.375% senior second lien notes due 2014 issued by and among Charter Operating and Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp. and the 10.875% senior second lien notes due 2014 issued by and among Charter Operating and Charter Communications Operating Capital Corp.); (iii) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (“Wells Fargo”) (in its capacities as successor Administrative Agent and successor Collateral Agent for the third lien prepetition secured lenders to CCO Holdings under the CCO Holdings credit facility); (iv) Law Debenture Trust Company of New York (“Law Debenture Trust”) (as the Trustee with respect to the \$479 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% convertible senior notes due 2027 issued by Charter which are no longer outstanding following consummation of the Plan); (v) R2 Investments, LDC (“R2 Investments”) (an equity interest holder in Charter); and (vi) certain plaintiffs representing a putative class in a securities action against three former Charter officers or directors filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas (Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund, Indiana Laborers Pension Fund, and Iron Workers District Council of Western New York and Vicinity Pension Fund, in the action styled *Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund v. Allen, et al.*, Case No. 4:09-cv-00405-JLH (E.D. Ark.)).

Charter Operating amended its senior secured credit facilities effective March 31, 2010. In connection with the closing of these amendments, each of Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan, for itself and on behalf of the lenders under the Charter Operating senior secured credit facilities, agreed to dismiss the appeal of our Confirmation Order pending before the District Court for the Southern District of New York and to waive any objections to our Confirmation Order issued by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The lenders filed their stipulation of that dismissal and waiver of objections and in April 2010, the case was dismissed. On December 3, 2009, Wilmington Trust withdrew its notice of appeal. In April 2010, Wells Fargo filed its Stipulation of Dismissal of their appeal on behalf of the lenders under the CCO Holdings credit facility and in April 2010, the case was dismissed. The appeals by Law Debenture Trust and R2 Investments were denied by the District Court for the Southern District of New York in March 2011. A Notice of Appeal of that denial has been filed by both Law Debenture Trust and R2. The appeals of the securities plaintiffs were denied by the District Court for the Southern District of New York in July 2011. We cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the appeals.

Other Proceedings

We have had communications with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“the EPA”) in connection with a self reporting audit which may result in a proceeding. Pursuant to the audit, we discovered certain compliance issues concerning our reports to the EPA for backup batteries used at our facilities. We do not view these matters as material.

We and our parent companies also are party to other lawsuits and claims that arise in the ordinary course of conducting our business. The ultimate outcome of these other legal matters pending against us or our parent companies cannot be predicted, and although such lawsuits and claims are not expected individually to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity, such lawsuits could have in the aggregate a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity. Whether or not we ultimately prevail in any particular lawsuit or claim, litigation can be time consuming and costly and injure our reputation.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2010 includes “Risk Factors” under Item 1A of Part I. Except for the updated risk factors described below, there have been no material changes from the risk factors described in our Annual Report. The information below updates, and should be read in conjunction with, the risk factors and information disclosed in our Annual Report.

Risks Related to Regulatory and Legislative Matters

Our business is subject to extensive governmental legislation and regulation, which could adversely affect our business.

Regulation of the cable industry has increased cable operators' operational and administrative expenses and limited their revenues. Cable operators are subject to, among other things:

- rules governing the provision of cable equipment and compatibility with new digital technologies;
- rules and regulations relating to subscriber and employee privacy and data security;
- limited rate regulation;
- rules governing the copyright royalties that must be paid for retransmitting broadcast signals;
- requirements governing when a cable system must carry a particular broadcast station and when it must first obtain retransmission consent to carry a broadcast station;
- requirements governing the provision of channel capacity to unaffiliated commercial leased access programmers;
- rules limiting our ability to enter into exclusive agreements with multiple dwelling unit complexes and control our inside wiring;
- rules, regulations, and regulatory policies relating to provision of high-speed Internet service, including net neutrality rules;
- rules, regulations, and regulatory policies relating to provision of voice communications;
- rules for franchise renewals and transfers; and
- other requirements covering a variety of operational areas such as equal employment opportunity, emergency alert systems, technical standards, and customer service requirements.

Additionally, many aspects of these regulations are currently the subject of judicial proceedings and administrative or legislative proposals. In March 2010, the FCC submitted its National Broadband Plan to Congress and announced its intention to initiate approximately 40 rulemakings addressing a host of issues related to the delivery of broadband services, including video, data, VoIP and other services. The broad reach of these rulemakings could ultimately impact the environment in which we operate. On December 21, 2010, the FCC enacted new “net neutrality” rules, regulating the provision of broadband Internet access. There are also ongoing efforts to amend or expand the federal, state, and local regulation of some of our cable systems, which may compound the regulatory risks we already face, and proposals that might make it easier for our employees to unionize. For example, Congress and various federal agencies are now considering adoption of significant new privacy restrictions, including new restrictions on the use of personal and profiling information for behavioral advertising. In response to

recent global data breaches, malicious activity and cyber threats, as well as the general increasing concerns regarding the protection of consumers' personal information, Congress is considering the adoption of new data security and cybersecurity legislation that could result in additional network and information security requirements for our business. In the event of a data breach or cyber attack, these new laws, as well as existing legal and regulatory obligations, could require significant expenditures to remedy any such breach or attack. In addition, the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010, which the FCC is now in the process of implementing, includes various provisions intended to ensure communications services are accessible to people with disabilities. Certain states and localities are considering new cable and telecommunications taxes that could increase operating expenses.

Our cable system franchises are subject to non-renewal or termination. The failure to renew a franchise in one or more key markets could adversely affect our business.

Our cable systems generally operate pursuant to franchises, permits, and similar authorizations issued by a state or local governmental authority controlling the public rights-of-way. Many franchises establish comprehensive facilities and service requirements, as well as specific customer service standards and monetary penalties for non-compliance. In many cases, franchises are terminable if the franchisee fails to comply with significant provisions set forth in the franchise agreement governing system operations. Franchises are generally granted for fixed terms and must be periodically renewed. Franchising authorities may resist granting a renewal if either past performance or the prospective operating proposal is considered inadequate. Franchise authorities often demand concessions or other commitments as a condition to renewal. In some instances, local franchises have not been renewed at expiration, and we have operated and are operating under either temporary operating agreements or without a franchise while negotiating renewal terms with the local franchising authorities.

The traditional cable franchising regime is currently undergoing significant change as a result of various federal and state actions. Some of the new state franchising laws do not allow us to immediately opt into favorable statewide franchising. In many cases, state franchising laws, and their varying application to us and new video providers, will result in less franchise imposed requirements for our competitors, who are new entrants, than for us until we are able to opt into the applicable state franchise.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to comply with all significant provisions of our franchise agreements and certain of our franchisors have from time to time alleged that we have not complied with these agreements. Additionally, although historically we have renewed our franchises without incurring significant costs, we cannot assure you that we will be able to renew, or to renew as favorably, our franchises in the future. A termination of or a sustained failure to renew a franchise in one or more key markets could adversely affect our business in the affected geographic area.

Our cable system franchises are non-exclusive. Accordingly, local and state franchising authorities can grant additional franchises and create competition in market areas where none existed previously, resulting in overbuilds, which could adversely affect results of operations.

Our cable system franchises are non-exclusive. Consequently, local and state franchising authorities can grant additional franchises to competitors in the same geographic area or operate their own cable systems. In some cases, local government entities and municipal utilities may legally compete with us without obtaining a franchise from the local franchising authority. In addition, certain telephone companies are seeking authority to operate in communities without first obtaining a local franchise. As a result, competing operators may build systems in areas in which we hold franchises.

In a series of rulemakings, the FCC adopted new rules that streamline entry for new competitors (particularly those affiliated with telephone companies) and reduce franchising burdens for these new entrants. At the same time, a substantial number of states have adopted new franchising laws principally designed to streamline entry for new competitors, and often provide advantages for these new entrants that are not immediately available to existing operators.

Actions by pole owners might subject us to significantly increased pole attachment costs.

Pole attachments are cable wires that are attached to utility poles. Cable system attachments to investor-owned public utility poles historically have been regulated at the federal or state level, generally resulting in favorable pole

attachment rates for attachments used to provide cable service. In contrast, utility poles owned by municipalities or cooperatives are not subject to federal regulation and are generally exempt from state regulation. On April 7, 2011, the FCC amended its pole attachment rules to promote broadband deployment. The new order (the "Order") maintains the basic rate formula applicable to "cable" attachments in the 30 states directly subject to FCC regulation, but reduces the rate formula previously applicable to "telecommunications" attachments to make it roughly equivalent to the cable attachment rate. Although the Order maintains the status quo treatment of cable-provided VoIP service as an unclassified service eligible for the favorable cable rate, there is still some uncertainty in this area. The Order also allows for new penalties in certain cases involving unauthorized attachments that could result in additional costs for cable operators. The new Order overall strengthens the cable industry's ability to access investor-owned utility poles on reasonable rates, terms and conditions. Electric utilities, however, have filed Petitions for Reconsideration at the FCC and Petitions for Review in the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals seeking to modify or overturn the FCC's Order. Charter and other cable operators have intervened in the court proceeding.

Increasing regulation of our Internet service product could adversely affect our ability to provide new products and services.

In August 2005, the FCC issued a nonbinding policy statement identifying four principles it deemed necessary to ensure continuation of an "open" Internet that is not unduly restricted by network "gatekeepers." In August 2008, the FCC issued an order concerning one Internet network management practice in use by another cable operator, effectively treating the four principles as rules and ordering a change in that operator's network management practices. On April 6, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit concluded that the FCC lacked jurisdictional authority and vacated the FCC's 2008 order. On December 21, 2010, the FCC responded by enacting new "net neutrality" rules based on three core principles of: (1) transparency, (2) no blocking, and (3) no unreasonable discrimination. The "transparency" rule requires broadband Internet access providers to disclose applicable terms, performance, and network management practices to consumers and third party users. The "no blocking" rule restricts Internet access providers from blocking lawful content, applications, services, or devices. The "no unreasonable discrimination" rule prohibits Internet access providers from engaging in unreasonable discrimination in transmitting lawful traffic. The new rules will permit broadband service providers to exercise "reasonable network management" for legitimate management purposes, such as management of congestion, harmful traffic, and network security. The rules will also permit usage-based billing, and permit broadband service providers to offer additional specialized services such as facilities-based IP voice services, without being subject to restrictions on discrimination. These rules do not become effective until 60 days following the announcement in the Federal Register of the Office of Management and Budget's decision regarding the information collection requirements associated with the new rules. The Office of Management and Budget did not commence its proceeding regarding the proposed requirements until early July 2011. Assuming they become effective, the FCC will enforce these rules based on case-by-case complaints. Although the new rules encompass both wireline providers (like us) and wireless providers, the rules are less stringent with regard to wireless providers. The FCC premised the new "net neutrality" rules on its Title I and ancillary jurisdiction. An initial appeal filed by Verizon was rejected by the court on procedural grounds, but it is expected that Verizon will refile in due course. A legislative review is also possible. The FCC's new rules, if they withstand such challenges, as well as any additional legislation or regulation, could impose new obligations and restraints on high-speed Internet providers. Any such rules or statutes could limit our ability to manage our cable systems to obtain value for use of our cable systems and respond to operational and competitive challenges.

Changes in channel carriage regulations could impose significant additional costs on us.

Cable operators also face significant regulation of their channel carriage. We can be required to devote substantial capacity to the carriage of programming that we might not carry voluntarily, including certain local broadcast signals; local public, educational and government access ("PEG") programming; and unaffiliated, commercial leased access programming (required channel capacity for use by persons unaffiliated with the cable operator who desire to distribute programming over a cable system). Under FCC regulations, most cable systems are currently required to offer both an analog and digital version of local broadcast signals. This burden could increase further if we are required to carry multiple programming streams included within a single digital broadcast transmission (multicast carriage) or if our broadcast carriage obligations are otherwise expanded. Pursuant to recent copyright legislation, the Copyright Office and the General Accounting Office are now conducting proceedings exploring the feasibility of phasing out the compulsory copyright license through which cable systems have retransmitted broadcast programming since 1976. At the same time, the cost that cable operators face to secure retransmission consent (separate from copyright authority) for the carriage of popular broadcast stations is increasing significantly.

The FCC also adopted new commercial leased access rules (currently stayed while under appeal) which dramatically reduce the rate we can charge for leasing this capacity and dramatically increase our associated administrative burdens. The FCC recently adopted amendments, and is currently considering additional amendments, to its program carriage rules that provide additional rights to programmers dissatisfied with their carriage arrangements with cable and satellite companies to pursue complaints against these companies at the FCC. These regulatory changes could disrupt existing programming commitments, interfere with our preferred use of limited channel capacity, increase our programming costs, and limit our ability to offer services that would maximize our revenue potential. It is possible that other legal restraints will be adopted limiting our discretion over programming decisions.

Offering voice communications service may subject us to additional regulatory burdens, causing us to incur additional costs.

We offer voice communications services over our broadband network and continue to develop and deploy VoIP services. The FCC has declared that certain VoIP services are not subject to traditional state public utility regulation. The full extent of the FCC preemption of state and local regulation of VoIP services is not yet clear. Expanding our offering of these services may require us to obtain certain additional authorizations. We may not be able to obtain such authorizations in a timely manner, or conditions could be imposed upon such licenses or authorizations that may not be favorable to us. The FCC has extended certain traditional telecommunications carrier requirements, such as E911, Universal Service fund collection, CALEA, Customer Proprietary Network Information, number porting and telephone relay requirements to many VoIP providers such as us. There is a pending FCC proposal that might extend new inter-carrier compensation rules to VoIP traffic. Within the next year, the FCC is likely to change the rules that govern intercarrier compensation payments that Charter pays to other carriers to have calls terminated to their local telephone subscribers, and that Charter receives from other carriers to terminate calls made to Charter telephone subscribers. It is expected that intercarrier compensation revenues and expenses would both decline as a result of reform, but we cannot predict with certainty the details of these new rules and the extent to which it could affect Charter's revenues and expenses for its telephone services. Telecommunications companies generally are subject to other significant regulation which could also be extended to VoIP providers. If additional telecommunications regulations are applied to our VoIP service, it could cause us to incur additional costs.